



An eiaustralia company

Preliminary Geotechnical Desktop Study And Intrusive Geotechnical Investigation Report

Proposed Gledswood Hills High School

9 Gregory Hills Drive, Gledswood Hills

Report No 20465/5-AA





An 📣 eiaustralia company

**COVER PAGE** 

## **Document Prepared by**

Geotechnique Pty Ltd 1 Lemko Place, Penrith NSW 2750 PO Box 880, Penrith NSW 2751 Email: Geotech@geotech.com.au Tel: +61 2 4722 2700 www.geotech.com.au

#### **Document Information**

Document Title	Preliminary Geotechnical Desktop Study and Intrusive Geotechnical Investigation Report		
Site Address         9 Gregory Hills Drive, Gledswood Hills			
<b>Job No</b> 20465/5			
Report No	20465/5-AA		
Client	NSW Department of Education		
Client Address	GPO Box 33, Sydney NSW 2001		

#### **Document Control**

Rev	Date Revision Detail/Status Author Re		Reviewer	Approver	
0	04/12/2024	Initial Issue	Indra Jworchan		Indra Jworchan
1	20/12/2024	Amended 1	Indra Jworchan		Indra Jworchan

Author Signature	Guranha -
Name	Indra Jworchan
Title	Principal Engineer

This document is produced by Geotechnique Pty Ltd solely for the benefit and use by the client in accordance with the terms of the engagement. Geotechnique Pty Ltd does not and shall not assume any responsibility or liability whatsoever to any third party arising out of any use or reliance by any third party on the content of this document.





An eiaustralia company

Job No: 20465/5 Our Ref: 20465/5-AA Amended 1 20 December 2024

NSW Department of Education School Infrastructure NSW (SINSW) GPO Box 33 SYDNEY NSW 2001

Dear Sir

#### re: Proposed Gledswood Hills High School 9 Gregory Hills Drive, Gledswood Hills Preliminary Desktop Geotechnical Study & Intrusive Geotechnical Investigation Report

Please find herewith report on an Intrusive Geotechnical Investigation carried out for the proposed new Gledswood Hills High School at Gledswood Hills.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Yours faithfully GEOTECHNIQUE PTY LTD

mha -

INDRA JWORCHAN Principal Geotechnical Engineer BEng MEng MIEAust CPEng NER APEC Engineer IntPE(Aus) Email: indra@geotech.com.au





An ABN 64 002 841 063

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The NSW Government is proposing to construct a new Gledswood Hills High School at 9 Gregory Hills Drive, Gledswood, to meet the growth in educational demand in Gregory Hills and Gledswood Hills and the broader South West Growth Area. The proposed activities include construction of school buildings, hall, car park etc. The assessments and recommendations presented in this PDGS and IGI report are summarised below:

- The natural subsurface profile across the site for proposed school is likely to comprise a sequence of fill and residual soils underlain by shale bedrock. The thickness of fill varies from 43.5m to 7.5m and depth to bedrock varies from about 6.0m to 13.0m from existing ground surface. The thickness of residual soils overlying the bedrock is anticipated to be up to about 3.0m thick. The depth to groundwater is likely to be more than 14.0m.
- The fill and residual soils across the site are likely to vary from none-saline to saline. But because large number of sampling and testing will be required to delineate non-saline soils, the proposed activities are to be carried out in accordance with Salinity Management Plan (SMP).
- The fill and residual soils across the site are unlikely to be acid sulphate or potential acid sulphate soils. Therefore, disturbance and/or excavation of residual soils during the proposed activities may be carried out without an approved Acid Sulphate Soil Management Plan (ASSMP).
- Fill Management Protocol prepared by ADE indicates that the fill across the site might have been placed in controlled manner (Reference 8). However, additional sampling and testing may be carried out to ascertain the nature of fill for the purposes of design development and value management prior to construction.
- Additional earthwork or site preparation for construction of proposed school is likely to involve some excavation (including removing unsuitable foundation materials) and fill operations. Excavations can be achieved using conventional earthmoving equipment such as excavators and dozers and fill should be placed in accordance with Australian Standard AS3798 (Reference 10). It is unlikely that the excavation works will encounter significant groundwater inflow. Minor groundwater inflow or seepage, if encountered, can be handled with conventional sump and pump method.
- Cut and fill slopes during and after earthworks should be battered for stability or retained with engineered retaining structures. Although it is unlikely that the batter slopes would require retention with engineered retaining structures, appropriate retaining structures for the proposed activities are anticipated to include gravity walls or cantilever walls.
- At the completion of earthworks, preliminary Geotechnical Model for the site is likely to comprise three Geotechnical Units namely fill underlain by residual soil, which in turn is underlain by bedrock. Residual soil and bedrock units are assessed to be suitable foundation materials for proposed school buildings and other structures. But suitability of existing fill materials as foundation materials for proposed school buildings will have to be ascertained by conducting additional sampling and testing.



20465/5-AA Executive Summary Continued

- Appropriate footings for the proposed buildings and retaining structures are likely to comprise shallow (pad or strip) footings founded on existing fill, if confirmed to be controlled, or deep footing socketed into residual soils or bedrock. Deep footings (bored piers, screw piles etc) may be preferable if footings are required to withstand significant lateral and uplift loads. The site for the proposed school construction is almost levelled and assessed to have a "Very Low Risk" of slope instability to the property at existing conditions. It is also our assessment that the risk of slope instability across the site can be maintained at "Very Low" so that the site will be suitable for proposed school construction from slope stability considerations provided earthworks and construction of proposed structures are carried out in accordance with recommendations provided in this report.
- The potential geotechnical risks or issues at the site includes presence of saline soils and variations in nature and thickness of existing fill and depth to bedrock. These risks can be managed or mitigated with the recommended mitigation measures presented in Table 12.
- From geotechnical engineering considerations, the potential impact from the proposed activities on the locality, community and/or the environment are insignificant.

Based on above discussion, it is our assessment that the potential geotechnical risks at the site for the proposed activities are minor and can be addressed if earthworks and design of proposed structures are carried out in accordance with recommendation provided in this report. Furthermore, it is our assessment that the potential impact from the proposed activities on the locality, community and/or the environment is insignificant. Therefore, the site is suitable for construction of proposed new high school provided earthworks and designs of ground floor slabs and footings of proposed school structures are carried out in accordance with recommendations provided in this report.

**GEOTECHNIQUE PTY LTD** 



#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVE		Pa	ige 
	-		
_			
1.0		JCTION AND DECLARATION	
2.0		Y OF ACTIVITIES	
3.0	SITE DE	SCRIPTION	- 2
4.0	CONCEP	T APPROVAL	- 2
5.0	CONSUL	TANT REPORT CONTENT	- 3
5.3	1 Back	ground Information	- 3
	5.1.1	Regional Geology and Soil Landscape	3
	5.1.2	Soil Salinity	
	5.1.3	Acid Sulphate Soils	4
	5.1.4	Groundwater	4
	5.1.5	Fill Management Protocol	
5.2	2 Resu	Its of Preliminary Geotechnical Desktop Study	- 4
5.3	3 Resu	Its of Intrusive Geotechnical Investigation	- 6
	5.3.1	Subsurface Profile	6
	5.3.2	Existing Fill	7
	5.3.3	Laboratory Tests	
	5.3.4	Recommended Geotechnical Model for the Site	
	5.3.5	Soil Salinity	
	5.3.6	Exposure Classification	
	5.3.7	Aggressivity Classification	
	5.3.8	Soil Reactivity	
	5.3.9 5.3.10	Excavation Conditions Fill Placement	
	5.3.10	Batter Slopes and Retaining Structures	
	5.3.12	Site Classification	
	5.3.13	Floor Slabs	
	5.3.14	Footings	
	5.3.15	Saline Soil Management Plan	
	5.3.16	Slope Stability	.16
6.0	POTENT	AL GEOTECHNICAL CONSTRAINTS OR RISKS	17
7.0	MITIGAT	ION MEASURES FOR GEOTECHNICAL RISKS	18
8.0	SIGNIFI	CANCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS	18
9.0	CONCLU	SIONS	18
10.0	LIST OF	REFERENCES	19

#### ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A:	Salinity Management Plan prepared by Douglas Partners
Attachment B:	Drawing No 20645/3-AA1 Plan Showing Borehole Locations
	Borehole Logs
Attachment C:	Laboratory Test Results

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION AND DECLARATION

This Preliminary Geotechnical Desktop Study (PGDS) and Intrusive Geotechnical Investigation (IGI) report has been prepared by Geotechnique Pty Ltd on behalf of the NSW Department of Education (DoE) to assess the potential environmental impacts that could arise from construction of the new Gledswood Hills High School (the **Proposal**) at 9 Gregory Hills Drive, Gledswood Hills (the **site**). The works are proposed by the DoE to meet the growth in educational demand in Gregory Hills and Gledswood Hills and the broader South West Growth Area. Figure 1 below shows the location of the site.



The Site

NOT TO SCALE

Figure 1 - Location of Proposed Gledswood Hills High School

This report has been prepared to provide assessment of subsurface conditions across the site in order to provide geotechnical recommendations on site preparation and the design of the proposed school structures. The IGI was completed in accordance with Australian Standard AS1726 (Reference 1).

## 2.0 SUMMARY OF ACTIVITIES

The activity proposed by DoE involves the construction and operation of a new high school at the site accommodating 1000 students, including:

- A series of school buildings along the northern, eastern and southern site boundaries.
- A school hall.
- An assembly area, sports field and multi sports courts.
- Car parking and a Kiss and Drop zone.
- Associated on and off-site infrastructure to support the school, including a new pedestrian crossing and relocation of the existing bus stop on Gregory Hills Drive to the site frontage.

Figure 2 shows footprints of proposed buildings, car park and sports fields etc.



Figure 2 - Footprints of Proposed Structures in Proposed Gledswood Hills High School

## 3.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is located at 9 Gregory Hills Drive, Gledswood Hills, within the Camden Local Government Area (LGA), approximately 60km southwest of the Sydney CBD and approximately 3.5km from Narellan Town Centre. It comprises one lot, legally described as Lot 2 DP1262720, that measures approximately 4.15ha in area. The site is bound by Digitaria Drive to the north and Gregory Hills Drive to the south. To the east lies two vacant lots, a childcare centre and a fast-food outlet. To the west lies another childcare centre and a vacant lot (which also has approval for a childcare centre). Figure 1 is an aerial image of the site.

## 4.0 CONCEPT APPROVAL

The site of the proposed high school forms part of a larger site that is made up of eight separate lots, which are subject to an approved Concept Development Application (DA) (DA/2017/45/1) for a mixed-use development comprising bulky goods premises, business premises, food and drink premises, indoor recreation facilities, two hotels and a cinema.

This report has considered the concept approval (DA/2017/45/1) for a mixed-use development and it has been determined that potential impacts of the proposed activity on concept approval can be appropriately mitigated or managed as detailed in Salinity Management Plan (SMP) prepared by Douglas Partners and presented in Attachment A (Reference 2).

# 5.0 CONSULTANT REPORT CONTENT

#### 5.1 Background Information

#### 5.1.1 Regional Geology and Soil Landscape

Reference to the Geological Map of Wollongong-Port Hacking (scale 1:100,000) indicates that the bedrock at the site is Bringelly Shale, belonging to the Wianamatta Group of rocks and comprising shale, carbonaceous claystone, laminite, fine to medium grained lithic sandstone, and rare coal (Reference 3).

Reference to the Soil Landscape Map of Wollongong-Port Hacking (scale 1:100,000) indicates that the landscape at the site belongs to Blacktown Group, which is characterised with gently undulating rises on Wianamatta Group shales, with local relief to 30.0m, ground slope of less than 5 percent and broad rounded crests. The sub-surface soil within this landscape is likely to be up to 3.0m thick, moderately reactive, highly plastic and with poor drainage (Reference 4).

## 5.1.2 Soil Salinity

Reference to Map showing Salinity Potential in Western Sydney (Scale Approximate 1:143,000) prepared by Department of Infrastructures, Planning and Natural Resources (2002) indicates moderately salinity potential across the site (Reference 5).

Douglas Partners has completed a Salinity Investigation for Lot 701 DP 1154772 in Gregory Hills Drive, Gledswood Hills, and prepared a report in 2012 (Reference 2). This report indicates that the materials within the proposed high school site are non-saline to very saline. However, very saline soils are localised in the north eastern portion of the school site as indicated below in Figure 3, extracted from Reference 2. It should however be noted that significant fill has been placed across the site after preparation of Reference 2.



Figure 3 - Variation in Salinity of Soil Materials across the Proposed High School Site in 2012

# 5.1.3 Acid Sulphate Soils

Department of Land and Water Conservation has produced Acid Sulphate Soil Risk Maps for areas with known or potential occurrence of acid sulphate soils in NSW. Proposed high school site is located outside the areas with known or probabilities of occurrences of acid sulphate soils across. Furthermore, reference to Acid Sulphate Soil Risk Map (Edition 2, 1:25,000) of Liverpool covering areas in the vicinity of the site indicates no known occurrence of acid sulphate soil at the site (Reference 6).

## 5.1.4 Groundwater

A search of the website of Department of Primary Industries Office of Water for registered groundwater bore data shows one registered bore within radius of 500.0m of the site and depth to groundwater is about 23.0m from existing ground surface (Reference 7). The groundwater is likely to be fresh.

There is no water body, such as a creek, river, or wetland close to and transecting the site. It is noted that a tributary of South Creek transecting the north eastern portion of the site has been realigned out of the site and filled up in early 2010s. South Creek is located approximately 110.0m to the north of the site.

#### 5.1.5 Fill Management Protocol

We understand that the site for proposed high school at Gledswood Hills was initially proposed to be subdivided for commercial development. The site preparation for commercial development required importation of approximately 330,000 m<sup>3</sup> of material classified as Virgin Excavated Natural Material (VENM) or materials classified understood either a general or specific recovery exemption. For importation of such materials ADE Consulting Group Pty Ltd (ADE) prepared a Fill Management Protocol (Reference 8). In addition to advice on contamination acceptance criteria for importation of fill, this protocol also provides the following geotechnical requirements for importation of materials:

- The maximum particle size of the imported material must not exceed 150.0mm. The maximum particle size of greater than 150.0mm is acceptable if the material can readily breakdown under normal compaction conditions
- The imported material should have a liquid limit (obtained by Atterberg Limit test) of less than 50.0%. Material with a Liquid Limit up to 50.0% will be acceptable if Shrink Swell Index test certificates show that shrink swell index is ≤2.0.
- The imported material should have a California Bearing Ratio (CBR) of at least 5.0% and should not contain any unsuitable material defined in Australian Standard AS3798 (Reference 8).
- The imported soils should be moderate salinity or better as the site is in an area of known moderate salinity.

ADE has reviewed the findings presented in various reports prepared for the site and stated that the ADE considers that the soil materials imported into site during the period November 2013 to April 2017 were imported in accordance with the site's Fill Management Protocol (Reference 8) and are suitable for the proposed commercial/industrial land use (Reference 9).

## 5.2 Results of Preliminary Geotechnical Desktop Study

Preliminary Geotechnical Desktop Study (PGDS) indicates the following:

• The natural subsurface profile across the site for proposed school is likely to comprise a sequence of residual soils underlain by shale bedrock. The thickness of residual soils overlying the bedrock is anticipated to be up to about 3.0m thick. However, it is likely that significant fill has been placed

across the site so that the thickness of soil materials overlying bedrock could be significantly more than 3.0m.

- The residual soils are likely to be saline and therefore disturbance and/or excavation of residual soils during the proposed activities may have to be carried out in accordance with SMP prepared by Douglas Partners (Reference 2).
- Residual soils across the site are unlikely to be acid sulphate or potential acid sulphate soils. Therefore, disturbance and/or excavation of residual soils during the proposed activities do not require an Acid Sulphate Soil Management Plan (ASSMP).
- Fill Management Protocol prepared by ADE indicates that the fill across the site might have been placed in a controlled manner (Reference 8). However, we recommend for additional sampling and testing to confirm: (1) the presence or absence of saline and acid sulphate soils; and (2) the fill is actually controlled in nature and suitable as foundation materials.
- Additional earthwork or site preparation for construction of proposed school is likely to involve some excavation (including removing unsuitable foundation materials) and fill operations. Excavations can be achieved using conventional earthmoving equipment such as excavators and dozers and fill should be placed in accordance with Australian Standard AS3798 (Reference 10). It is unlikely that the excavation works will encounter significant groundwater inflow. Minor groundwater inflow or seepage, if encountered, can be handled with conventional sump and pump method.
- Cut and fill slopes during and after earthworks should be battered for stability or retained with engineered retaining structures. Although it is unlikely that the batter slopes would require retention with engineered retaining structures, appropriate retaining structures for the proposed activities are anticipated to include gravity walls or cantilever walls.
- At the completion of earthworks, preliminary Geotechnical Model for the site is likely to comprise three Geotechnical Units namely fill underlain by residual soil, which in turn is underlain by bedrock. Residual soil and bedrock units are assessed to be suitable foundation materials for proposed school buildings and other structures. But suitability of fill materials as foundation materials for proposed school buildings will have to be ascertained by conducting additional sampling and testing.
- Appropriate footings for the proposed buildings and retaining structures are likely to comprise shallow (pad or strip) footings founded on fill or deep footing socketed into residual soils or bedrock. Deep footings (bored piers, screw piles etc) may be preferable if footings are required to withstand significant lateral and uplift loads.
- The site for the proposed school construction is almost levelled and assessed to have a "Very Low Risk" of slope instability to the property at existing conditions. It is also our assessment that the risk of slope instability across the site can be maintained at "Very Low" so that the site will be suitable for proposed school construction from slope stability considerations provided earthworks and construction of proposed structures are carried out in accordance with recommendations provided in this report.

Based on above discussion the potential geotechnical limitations on the proposed school construction include presence of potentially uncontrolled fill and salinity of soils encountered across the site. It is our assessment that these limitations are minor and can be addressed if earthworks and design of proposed structures are carried out in accordance with recommendation provided in an IGI report. Therefore, it is our assessment that the the site is suitable for construction of proposed new high school provided earthworks and designs of ground floor slabs and footings of proposed buildings are carried out in accordance with recommendations provided in this report.

#### 5.3 Results of Intrusive Geotechnical Investigation

#### 5.3.1 Subsurface Profile

Scopes of work for IGI included drilling of 15 boreholes, distributed across the site, and conducting field and laboratory tests to assess the nature of subsurface materials. Borehole locations plan is presented in Appendix A. Borehole logs detailing sub-surface profiles encountered in boreholes are also presented in Appendix A and summarised below in Table 1.

Borehole No	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Ground Surface RL (m AHD)	Termination Depth (m)	Depth for Fill (m)	Depth for Residual Soil (m)	Depth to Bedrock (m)
BH1a	293989.6	6233014.8	102.2	8.0	0.0-4.5	4.5-6.1	6.1
BH2	293931.7	6233045.5	101.4	1.5	0.0->1.5	-	-
BH3a	293875.3	6233075.8	100.9	7.0	0.0-4.0	4.0-6.0	6.0
BH4a	293817.5	6233106.7	100.3	7.4	0.0-5.5	5.5-6.8	6.8
BH5	293843.8	6233156.0	101.3	1.3	0.0->1.3	-	-
BH6	293896.4	6233141.9	101.4	0.6	0.0->0.6	-	-
BH7	293959.8	6233110.0	102.4	0.6	0.0->0.6	-	-
BH8a	294015.0	6233063.4	102.6	12.5	0.0-6.5	6.5-11.0	11.0
BH9a	294025.5	6233117.3	102.5	5.3	0.0->5.3	-	
BH10	294014.4	6233180.2	102.1	1.3	0.0->1.3	-	-
BH11	293955.5	6233189.9	102.1	14.0	0.0-7.0	7.0-12.8	12.8
BH12	293869.3	6233202.7	101.4	10.3	0.0-7.0	7.0-9.5	9.5
BH13	293902.8	6233234.4	101.1	8.0	0.0-7.5	7.5-8.0	8.0
BH14	293952.6	6233241.2	101.2	13.5	0.0-7.5	7.5-13.0	13.0
BH15	294001.5	6233248.5	100.5	4.8	0.0->4.8	-	13.0

 Table 1 - Sub-surface Profiles encountered in Boreholes

Table 1 indicates that the subsurface profiles across the site generally comprise a sequence of fill and residual soils underlain by bedrock. The thickness of fill varies across the site from about 4.5m to 7.5m and the depth to bedrock is anticipated to vary from about 6.0m to 13.0m from existing ground surface.

The subsurface materials may in general be described as follows:

**Fill** Silty CLAY, low to medium plasticity, brown, moisture content lower than plastic limit, generally well compacted, with some gravel and boulders/concrete blocks.

Gravelly CLAY, low to medium plasticity, grey, moisture content generally lower than plastic limit, generally well compacted, with some gravel and boulders

**Residual Soil** Silty CLAY, medium to high plasticity, brown, orange, moisture content generally lower than or equal to plastic limit, stiff to very stiff

Bedrock SHALE, grey, extremely to distinctly weathered, low to medium strength

Groundwater level was not encountered in boreholes up to their termination depths of about 0.6m to 14.0m from existing ground surface. Therefore, we anticipate depth to regional groundwater level across the site to be more than 14.0m during normal climatic conditions. However, it should be noted that the groundwater levels might vary due to rainfall and other factors not evident during field work.

#### 5.3.2 Existing Fill

Boreholes and test pits distributed across the site encountered fill. The fill thickness is anticipated to be variable but up to about 7.5m thick. Fill generally comprises silty clay of low to medium plasticity with some gravel and gravelly clay of medium plasticity. However, some boreholes and test pits also encountered random boulders.

It is visual assessment that the properties of fill materials encountered in boreholes/test pits are likely to satisfy suggested specifications for the fill materials to be imported into the site (Reference 8). In addition, the results of SPT conducted during current IGI suggest that the fill has been well compacted. However, some boreholes/test pits encountered random boulders not expected in controlled fill. Figure 4 shows boulders encountered in a test pit as an illustration. Therefore, it is our assessment that the existing fill has been well compacted to be suitable as foundation materials for construction of the proposed school buildings, but placement of fill might not have been controlled adequately to avoid boulders.



Figure 4 - Illustration of Randon Boulders encountered in Test Pits

As records on fill placement and results of field density tests indicating that the existing fill has been placed and compacted in controlled manner are not available, the existing fill should be considered as uncontrolled fill mainly because of presence of boulders not expected in controlled fill. Therefore, fill may be left at existing conditions if school buildings and other significant structures are supported by piers founded on natural soils or bedrock and designed in accordance with recommendations provided in this report.

#### 5.3.3 Laboratory Tests

Representative soil samples recovered from boreholes/test pits were tested in the NATA accredited laboratories in accordance with relevant Australian Standards, to determine the following:

- Reactivity of subsurface soils in terms of Atterberg limits
- Salinity and aggressivity of subsurface soils in terms of Electrical Conductivity (EC), pH, chloride, resistivity

Detailed laboratory test results are presented in Appendix B and summaries of test results are presented in the following Tables 2 and 3.

Borehole No	Sample Depth (m)	Liquid Limit (%)	Shrinkage Limit (%)					
BH2	0.7-1.0	41.0	15.0	25.0	13.0			
BH5	0.8-1.0		Not Obtainable	0	1.5			
BH10	0.7-0.9	36.0	16.0	20.0	11.0			
BH13	1.5-1.95	43.0	18.0	25.0	11.5			

 Table 2 - Results of Atterberg Limits Tests

Borehole Sample EC Chloride Sulphate Resistivity								
Borehole	Sample	EC	pН	Sulphate	Resistivity			
No	Depth (m)	(µS/cm)	рп	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ohm-m)		
BH1	0.5-0.7	300	7.4	150	270	1500		
BH1	1.0-1.2	480	7.8	200	380	1200		
BH2	0.8-1.0	300	8.0	90	330	1600		
BH3	1.0-1.2	530	8.1	140	590	1100		
BH3	2.8-3.0	260	6.2	230	140	2000		
BH4	0.4-0.6	360	7.6	120	280	1500		
BH8	0.4-0.6	280	7.9	70	330	1800		
BH8	1.0-1.2	390	8.1	170	390	1200		
BH9	0.4-0.6	420	8.1	160	340	1300		
BH9	1.0-1.2	420	8.1	170	350	1300		
BH11	1.0-1.2	310	5.7	170	310	1900		
BH11	3.0-3.2	410	8.3	150	350	1300		
BH11	5.0-5.2	400	8.2	180	330	1400		
BH11	7.0-7.2	460	8.4	190	290	1400		
BH12	1.5-1.95	520	7.9	210	530	1100		
BH12	3.0-3.45	510	7.9	170	610	1100		
BH12	4.5-4.95	410	7.9	130	380	1400		
BH12	10.0-10.2	510	8.6	580	170	960		
BH15	1.5-1.95	450	8.2	110	340	1500		
BH15	3.0-3.45	350	8.5	130	200	1600		
BH14	1.0-1.2	510	7.9	280	400	1100		
BH14	3.0-3.2	460	8.3	190	290	1400		
BH14	5.0-5.2	450	8.2	230	280	1400		
BH14	7.5-7.7	410	8.2	190	270	1500		

## 5.3.4 Recommended Geotechnical Model for the Site

Boreholes and test pits indicate that the subsurface profile across the site comprises a sequence of fill and residual soils underlain by bedrock. The thickness of fill and depth to bedrock across the site are variable. Based on borehole/test pit information detailed in this report, a Geotechnical Model constituting three Geotechnical Units and detailed below in Table 4 is suggested for the site of the proposed new high school. Each Geotechnical Unit represents a specific nature of soil or bedrock encountered across the site. Based

on visual assessment and results of SPT tests, indicative strength parameters, in terms of cohesion and internal friction angle, as well as modulus for each Geotechnical Unit are presented below in Table 4.

Geotechnical Unit	Material Description	Indicative Depth to Top of Unit (m)
Unit 1	Fill	0.0
Unit 2	Residual Soil	4.0-7.5
Unit 3	Bedrock	6.0-13.0

**Table 4 - Recommended Geotechnical Model** 

Table 5 - Effective Strength Parameters and Modulus							
Unit	Undrained	Effective	Friction	Young's			

Geotechnical Units	Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	Undrained Cohesion (kPa)	Effective Cohesion (kPa)	Friction Angle (deg)	Young's Modulus (MPa)	Poisson's Ratio
Unit 1	18.5	100.0	3.0	28.0	20.0	0.30
Unit 2	19.0	150.0	5.0	27.0	30.0	0.30
Unit 3	21.0	400.0	30.0	30.0	100.0	0.25

#### 5.3.5 Soil Salinity

Soil salinity is generally assessed by measuring Electrical Conductivity (EC) of a soil sample made up of 1:5 soil water suspension. Thus, determined EC is multiplied by a factor varying from 6 to 23, based on the texture of the soil sample, to obtain Corrected Electrical Conductivity designated as ECe (Reference 11). Alternatively, ECe may be directly measured in soil saturation extracts. Soils are classified as saline if ECe of the saturated extracts exceed 4.0dS/m. The criteria for assessment of soil salinity classes are shown in the following Table 6 (Reference 11).

Classification	EC <sub>e</sub> (dS/m)	Comments
Non-saline	<2	Salinity effects mostly negligible
Slightly saline	2-4	Yields of very sensitive crops may be affected
Moderately saline	4 – 8	Yields of many crops affected
Very saline	8 – 16	Only tolerant crops yield satisfactorily
Highly saline	>16	Only a few tolerant crops yield satisfactorily

Table 6 - Criteria for Soil Salinity Classification

Electrical conductivity (EC) values for 24 representative soil samples are summarised in Table 3. For clayey soils encountered across the site an appropriate multiplying factor is assumed to vary from 9 to 10. Even if a factor of 10 is used, estimates of ECe values for soil samples are estimated to vary from about 2.6dS/m to 5.3dS/m. More than half of samples show ECe values of more than 4.0dS/m. Both fill materials and residual soils across the site are assessed to be saline or non-saline soils.

As soils samples for salinity tests were collected from varying depths across the site and a large number of sampling and testing will be required to delineate areas with saline soils with the fill and residual soils, it is our recommendation that the soils likely to be disturbed or excavated during proposed activities are considered Moderately Saline. Therefore, earthworks for the proposed school construction should be carried out in accordance with SMP prepared by Douglas Partners (Reference 2).

#### 5.3.6 Exposure Classification

Australian Standard AS2870 (Reference 12) provides guidelines to assess Exposure Classification for saline and acid/sulphate soils. Table 7 below provides salinity and Exposure Classifications based on  $EC_e$ , and Table 8 provides Exposure Classification based on acidic and sulphate soils (Reference 12).

Electrical Conductivity, ECe (dS/m)	Exposure Classification	Salinity Classification								
<2	A1	Non-saline								
2-4	A1	Slightly saline								
4 – 8	A2	Moderately saline								
8 – 16	B1	Very saline								
>16	B2	Highly saline								

 Table 7 – Exposure Classifications for Saline Soils

<b>.</b>						
Sulphate	expressed as SO <sub>3</sub>	nH	Exposure Classification*			
In Soil (ppm)	In Groundwater (ppm)	рН	Soil Condition A	Soil Condition B		
<5000	<1000	>5.5	A2	A1		
5000-10000	1000-3000	4.5-5.5	B1	A2		
10000-20000	3000-10000	4.0-4.5	B2	B1		
>20000	>20000 >10000		C2	B2		

Table 8 – Exposure Classifications for Sulphate Soils

\*Soil Condition A = high permeability soils (e.g. sands and gravels) which are below groundwater \*Soil Condition B = low permeability soils (e.g. silts and clays) and all soils above groundwater

Soils across the site are predominantly clayey and therefore appropriate "Soil Condition is assessed to be "Condition B". Therefore, based on laboratory test results presented in Tables 3 and guidelines on Exposure Classifications presented in Tables 7 and 8, the Exposure Classifications for site is Class A1 or A2. ECe values are dominant. Therefore, we recommend that the proposed school construction use construction materials (such as concrete, bricks) and construction methods appropriate for Exposure Classification for sites for individual structures may be reassessed after post earthworks salinity assessment.

#### 5.3.7 Aggressivity Classification

Australian Standard AS2159 (Reference 13) provides Aggressivity Classifications of soil and groundwater applicable to iron/steel and concrete piles. The Aggressivity Classifications applicable to iron/steel piles is provided below in Table 9 and that applicable to concrete piles is provided in Table 10.

Ch	loride	pН	Resistivity	Soil Condition	Soil Condition					
In Soil (ppm)	In Water (ppm)	рп	(ohm cm)	A*	B#					
<5000	<1000	>5.0	>5000	Non-aggressive	Non-aggressive					
5000-20000	1000-10000	4.0-5.0	2000-5000	Mild	Non-aggressive					
20000-50000	10000-20000	3.0-4.0	1000-2000	Moderate	Mild					
>50000	>20000	<3.0	<1000	Severe	Moderate					

Table 9 – Aggressivity Classification for Steel

Sulphate	expressed as SO <sub>4</sub>	лЦ	Chloride in	Soil Condition	Soil Condition	
In Soil (ppm)	In Groundwater (ppm)	рН	Water (ppm)	Α	В	
<5000	<1000	>5.5	<6000	Mild	Non-aggressive	
5000-10000	1000-3000	4.5-5.5	6000-12000	Moderate	Mild	
10000-20000	3000-1000	4.0-4.5	12000-30000	Severe	Moderate	
>20000	>10000	<4.0	>30000	Very Severe	Severe	

As discussed above soils across the site are clayey and therefore Soil Condition B is appropriate. Based on laboratory test results presented in Tables 3 and guidelines on Aggressivity Classifications presented in Tables 9 and 10, the soils across the site are assessed to be Non-aggressivity to Mildly Aggressive to steel piles (Reference 13) but Non-aggressive to concrete piles. Resistivity is dominant for steel piles. Therefore, we recommend that the piles supporting proposed school structures are designed to suit aggressivity classification indicated above (Reference 13).

## 5.3.8 Soil Reactivity

Reactivity of soils across the site is assessed by determination of Atterberg Limits for representative samples and results are presented in Table 2. One of four samples tested was non-reactive. But three samples show Liquid limits of more than 35.0% and Plasticity Index of more than 20.0%. Therefore, it is our assessment that soil across the site is reactive and therefore susceptible to shrink and swell movements. This fact should be considered in the design and construction of proposed school structures.

## 5.3.9 Excavation Conditions

Proposed new high school construction is anticipated to involve some cut and fill operations. Although details on depth of excavation are not known, we anticipate proposed excavations will not be deeper than about 2.5m from existing ground surface. However, deeper excavation may be involved if existing fill is assessed to be unsuitable foundation materials. Therefore, the materials to be excavated are anticipated to comprise fill and residual soils. No bedrock excavation is anticipated.

It is our assessment that the excavation works for the proposed school construction can be achieved using conventional earthmoving equipment such as excavators and dozers.

Based on site observation during field works, we do not anticipate significant groundwater inflow during excavations to depth of about 5.0m. Minor groundwater inflow, if any, could be managed by a conventional sump and pump method. However, trafficability problems could arise locally during wet weather or if water is allowed to pond at the site.

## 5.3.10 Fill Placement

Site preparation for proposed high school construction may involve placement of some fill. Significant fill placement may be required if existing fill is to be replaced with new controlled fill for whatever reason. Existing fill may have to be removed if it is confirmed to be uncontrolled and not suitable for construction of proposed school structures. However, based on Fill Management Protocol (Reference 8) and results of current IGI it is likely that the existing fill is controlled and therefore suitable for construction of proposed high school. However, additional testing may be conducted to ascertain properties of the existing fill for design development/upgrade and value management prior to construction.

Fill placement, if required, should be carried out in a controlled manner and we recommend the following procedures for placement of controlled fill:

- Strip existing topsoil and stockpile separately for possible future uses or dispose off the site. Topsoil may be used in landscaping. Existing fill may also have to be stripped if confirmed to be uncontrolled.
- Undertake proof rolling, using an 8 to 10 tonnes roller of the exposed fill (or residual soils if existing fill is removed) to detect potentially weak spots (ground heave). Excavate areas of localised heaving to a depth of about 300mm and replace with granular fill, compacted as described below.
- Undertake proof rolling of soft spots backfilled with granular fill, as described above. If the backfilled area shows movement during proof rolling, this office should be contacted for further recommendations.
- Place suitable fill materials on proof rolled surface. Fill should be placed in horizontal layers of 200mm to 250mm maximum loose thickness and compacted to a Minimum Dry Density Ratio (MDDR) of 98% Standard, at moisture content within 2% of Optimum Moisture Content (OMC). However, the upper 500mm of controlled fill forming subgrade for access roads and car parks should be compacted to a MDDR of 100% Standard, at moisture content within 2% of OMC. Controlled fill should preferably comprise non-reactive fill (e.g. crushed sandstone), with a maximum particle size not exceeding 75mm, or low plasticity clay. The fill materials, residual soils and bedrock obtained from excavations within the site may also be selectively used in controlled fill, after crushing to sizes finer than 75mm, moisture conditioning, and removal of unsuitable materials.
- Fill placement should be supervised to ensure that material quality, layer thickness, testing frequency and compaction criteria conform to the specifications. We recommend "Level 1" supervision and testing, in accordance with AS3798 (Reference 10).

## 5.3.11 Batter Slopes and Retaining Structures

The site preparation for construction of the proposed school may involve cut and fill operations. Cuts are likely to be limited in fill and generally temporary in nature. The cut and fill slopes should be battered for stability or retained by engineered retaining structures. For battered slopes, we recommend the following:

- For short-term stability in controlled fill and residual soils = 1 vertical to 1 horizontal
- For long-term stability in controlled fill and residual soils = 1 vertical to 2.5 horizontal

It is also recommended that batter slopes are provided with adequate surface and sub-surface drainage, and the crest of the batter slope is at least 1.0m away from the property boundaries. However, if cut and fill slopes steeper than those recommended above are required for whatever reason, these slopes should be retained by engineered retaining structures. Appropriate retaining structures for the proposed works are anticipated to comprise cantilever walls and gravity walls. The pressure distribution on such walls is assumed to be triangular in shape and estimated as follows:

$$p_h = \gamma k H$$

Where,

- p<sub>h</sub> = Horizontal pressure (kN/m<sup>2</sup>)
- $\gamma$  = Total unit weights of retained materials (kN/m<sup>3</sup>)
- k = Coefficient of earth pressure (ka or ko)
- H = Retained height (m)

For design of flexible retaining structures where some lateral movement is acceptable, an active earth pressure coefficient ( $k_a$ ) is recommended. However, if it is critical to limit the horizontal deformation, use of an earth pressure coefficient at rest ( $k_0$ ) is recommended. Recommended earth pressure coefficients for the design of retaining structures are presented below:

- Total Unit Weight = 18.5kN/m<sup>3</sup>
- Coefficient of active earth pressure = 0.35
- Coefficient of at rest earth pressure = 0.55

The above coefficients are based on the assumptions that the ground level behind the retaining structure is horizontal, and the retained material is effectively drained. Additional earth pressures resulting from surcharge load (buildings, infrastructures, etc) on retained materials and groundwater pressure, if any should also be allowed for in design of retaining structures. The design of any retaining structure should also be checked for bearing capacity, overturning, sliding and overall stability of the slope.

#### 5.3.12 Site Classification

Australian Standard AS2870 (Reference 12) indicates that a building site can be classified based on thickness of clayey foundation soils and reactivity (shrink swell movements) of foundation soils. Site preparation for the proposed construction works is anticipated to involve some cut and fill operations. At the completion of site preparation, the thickness of clayey foundation soils, comprising fill and residual soils across the site is anticipated to be more than 5.0m.

As existing conditions, the site for proposed high school is assessed to belong to "Class P" in accordance with Reference 12 because existing fill is yet to be confirmed to be controlled.

Table 2 indicates most of subsurface soils across the site are reactive and susceptible to shrink swell movement. Therefore, if existing fill is confirmed to be controlled based on review of field density tests carried out during fill placement and/or additional testing, the building site for proposed new high school is anticipated to belong to "Class H1" in accordance with Australian Standard AS2870 (Reference 11). However, site classifications for a specific structure should be confirmed by sampling and testing of foundation soils after construction of building platforms are completed.

#### 5.3.13 Floor Slabs

We anticipate that the foundation materials at ground floor levels of proposed school buildings will be existing fill. If the existing fill is confirmed to be controlled, the ground floor slabs for the proposed buildings may be designed and constructed as ground bearing slabs or suspended slabs supported by footings designed in accordance with recommendations provided in this report. Ground bearing floor slabs of proposed buildings may be designed to suit Site "Class H1" in accordance with Australian Standard AS2870 (Reference 12). Therefore, shrink swell movements of 40.0mm to 60.0mm is anticipated for Class H1. The design of ground bearing slabs should also consider likely shrink swell movements due to the effect of climatic factors. However, this movement can be reduced by providing a layer of 100mm to 200mm thick roadbase or crushed sandstone and minimising wetting and drying of foundation soils/subgrade.

Alternatively, we recommend a Modulus of Subgrade Reaction value of 25kPa/mm.

It should be noted that the site classification in accordance with AS2870 is applicable only for design of footing systems for a single dwelling, house, townhouse or similar structure that would be detached or separated by a party wall or common walls. Therefore, site recommended site classification may not be applicable for proposed school buildings.

However, if existing fill is confirmed to be uncontrolled, ground floor slabs for the proposed buildings should be constructed as suspended slabs supported by footings designed in accordance with recommendations provided in this report. It is recommended that the suspended slabs are isolated from shrink swell movements of reactive foundation soils (estimated to be 40.0mm to 60.0mm for Class H1 site) by forming voids between foundation soils and the slabs.

#### 5.3.14 Footings

Loading conditions for the proposed school buildings are not known at this stage. However, we consider that appropriate footings would comprise shallow footings (pad and strip footings) founded on controlled fill or deep footings (bored piers or screw piles) socketed into residual soils or founded on bedrock. Deep footings would be preferable if footings are required to support high vertical loads as well as significant lateral and uplift pressures. The recommended allowable bearing pressures for design of shallow and deep footings are presented in the following Table 11.

Founding Material	Founding Depth from Existing Ground Surface (m)	Ultimate Bearing Pressure (kPa)	Ultimate Shaft Adhesion (kPa)	Allowable Bearing Pressure (kPa)	Allowable Shaft Adhesion (kPa)
Unit 1 Controlled Fill	1.0-1.5	300.0	Ignore	125.0	Ignore
Unit 2 Residual Soil	4.0-7.5	1500.0	30.0	400.0	10.0
Unit 3 Bedrock	6.0-13.0	3000.0	150.0	800.0	80.0

#### Table 11 – Recommended Bearing Pressures

The following should be noted:

- The ultimate bearing pressure and shaft adhesion are based on the ultimate capacities mobilised at large displacements, about 5.0% to 10.0% of pile diameter or minimum footing width. These values assume a clean rock socket with a roughness Category of R2 or better (Reference 14).
- The allowable bearing pressure and shaft adhesion are based on the capacities mobilised at displacements of about 1.0% of pile diameter or minimum footing width.
- The ultimate and allowable bearing pressures for Unit 3 are based on the assumptions that the piers are socketed at last 0.3m into appropriate rock units.
- Differential settlements are estimated to be about halves the estimated total settlements.
- The shaft adhesions against uplift pressures are halves the shaft adhesions for compressive loads presented in above table.
- For limit state design, geotechnical strength reduction factor φg of 0.50 is recommended in accordance with AS2159 (Reference 13). However, reduction factor φg can be increased up to 0.7 to 0.8 if pile design is verified by analyses of pile load tests and sufficient construction monitoring is carried out.

It is preferable that the footings are founded on similar foundation. As depths of bedrock with the recommended allowable bearing pressures is anticipated to vary across the site, the founding depths of footings to be constructed will also vary. Therefore, an experienced Geotechnical Engineer should confirm bearing pressures at founding levels during construction, on the basis of assessment made during footing excavation or pier hole drilling.

# 5.3.15 Saline Soil Management Plan

Most soils across the site are assessed to be saline. Although some soils are anticipated to be non-saline, a large number of sampling and testing will be required to delineate areas with non-saline soils. Therefore, for ease of earthworks, we recommend that the entire soils across the site are considered to be saline. Therefore, earthworks for the proposed activities should be carried out in accordance with SMP prepared by Douglas Partners (Reference 2)

The objective of this SMP is to minimise the impacts of saline and dispersive soils on the proposed activities and minimise the impact of the proposed activities on the existing salinity and hydrology. More specifically, this SSMP aims to address the following.

- Minimise the disruption to natural surface water drainage
- Minimise the potential for waterlogging or surface water pooling
- Minimise the potential for raising the water table beneath the site
- Minimise the potential for cyclic wetting and drying areas
- Minimise the potential for excessive soil erosion
- Minimise the degradation of building products (masonry, concrete, steel) in the presence of aggressive and/or saline soils

Erosion and sediment control should be carried out in accordance with Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) recommended by TTW (Reference 15).

However, as supplement to the SMP recommended by Douglas Partners (Reference 2) and ESCP recommended by TTW (Reference 15), we recommend the following to minimise the impact of the proposed activities on soil salinity and erosion and vice versa.

- Map the current primary drainage lines and incorporate these into the surface water drainage system for the site. Do not fill in or block these drainage lines unless appropriate alternative drainage is provided.
- Where creation of individual building platform requires substantial cut and/or fill consider the use of tiered buildings and/or building with slabs suspended on piers. This will minimise the obstruction of the natural surface water flow.
- Minimise the use of retaining structures; use safely inclined slopes, with grass and plant cover as an alternative. Gabion walls are also a better alternative as they are free draining.
- Reduce groundwater recharge through appropriate land use and land management practices. This can be achieved by minimising deep infiltration and by maximising vegetation cover, planting deep-rooted trees and the use of salt tolerant plants.
- Construct a V-drain behind the crest of all slopes to divert water away from the slope face.

- Ensure that earthworks and construction activities do not affect the natural flow of groundwater. Where groundwater is intercepted during proposed activities, the flow should be diverted to stormwater drains or creeks by providing appropriate surface and sub-surface drainage.
- On-site water detention in un-lined basins should be prevented, as this provides a localised potential groundwater re-charge. Lined basins, relying solely on evaporation should be used as an alternative.
- The finished ground surface after completion of earthworks should be provided with adequate fall to the street or stormwater manholes to allow run-off of water and prevent water ponding, waterlogging and infiltration of rainwater.
- Construction materials and methods should be appropriate to assess Exposure and Aggressivity Classification recommended in this report.

#### 5.3.16 Slope Stability

Site factors such as slope angles, depth of insitu soils, strengths of sub-surface materials, and concentrations of water generally govern the stability of a site. "Practice Note Guidelines for Landslide Risk Management", prepared by Australian Geomechanics Society (Reference 15), recommends that the landslide (slope failure) risk at a site is assessed on the basis of the likelihood of a landslide (slope failure) event and the consequences of that event. Applying the above guidelines, the risk of landslide (slope failure) across the site at its existing conditions is assessed as follows:

- Qualitative Measures of Likelihood For the existing site conditions, it is our assessment that an event of a landslide (slope failure) is "Rare", which means slope failures are conceivable but under exceptional circumstances, with indicative annual probability of ≈10<sup>-5</sup>.
- Qualitative Measures of Consequences to Property It is our assessment that the consequences of landslide (slope failure) in the site to the property would be "Medium", resulting in moderate damage to some structures, or significant part of the site requiring large reinstatement/stabilisation works.

Based on the above Qualitative Measures, the site for the proposed upgrade is assessed to have a "Very Low to Low Risk" to the property. The definitions of the risk levels are provided in Reference 15 and an abstract is presented below.

Risk L	.evel	Implication				
VH	Very High Risk	Extensive detailed investigation and research, planning and implementation of treatment options, essential to reduce risk to acceptable levels; may be too expensive and not practical.				
н	High Risk	Detailed investigation, planning and implementation of treatment options required to reduce risk to acceptable levels.				
М	Moderate Risk	Tolerable, provided treatment plan is implemented to maintain or reduce risks. May be accepted. Might require investigation and planning of treatment options.				
L	Low Risk Usually accepted. Treatment requirements and responsibilition to maintain or reduce risk.					
VL	Very Low Risk	Acceptable. Manage by normal slope maintenance procedures.				

Based on a "Very Low to Low" risk to property, it is considered that the site is assessed to be suitable for construction of the proposed school from slope stability consideration providing site preparation works and construction of proposed structures do increase the risk of slope instability. Therefore, risk of slope instability does not impose any limitation of proposed upgrade works.

## 6.0 POTENTIAL GEOTECHNICAL CONSTRAINTS OR RISKS

Based on anticipated site conditions, the potential geotechnical constraints or risks on proposed high school construction include the following.

- The risk of variability in the thickness of fill across the site.
- The risk of variability in the depth to bedrock across the site
- The risk that the existing fill across the site may be uncontrolled in nature.
- The risk of occurrence of saline soils.

Boreholes and test pits distributed across the site encountered fill. The thickness of fill across the site varies from about 4.5m to 7.5m from existing ground surface. Likewise, the depth to bedrock across the site varies from about 6.0m to 13.0m. It will be preferably that footings of proposed buildings are founded on similar foundation materials. Therefore, designer of building should consider impacts of these variabilities on design and costing of the buildings.

Fill generally comprises silty clay of low to medium plasticity with some gravel and gravelly clay of medium plasticity. However, some boreholes and test pits also encountered random boulders. Although the existing fill appears to be well compacted, placement of fill might not have been controlled adequately. Therefore, designer of piles supporting building should consider likelihood that the existing fill may random boulders and its impacts on design and costing of the buildings.

The subsurface materials, including fill materials and residual soils across the site, vary from non-saline soils to saline soil. As large number of sampling and testing will be required to delineate areas with saline and non-saline soil, it is our recommendation that the soils likely to be disturbed or excavated during proposed activities are considered Moderately Saline. Therefore, earthworks for the proposed activities should be carried out in accordance with an SMP recommended by Douglas Partners (Reference 2). The designer should consider the management of saline soil during proposed activities and its impact on design and costing of the buildings etc.

The geotechnical constraints or risks associated with variabilities in thickness and nature of fill and depth to bedrock can be addressed by conducting additional investigation or inspection during construction stage. Although additional investigation is desirable to arrive at appropriate structural design and cost management, the structural design can also be based on currently available information which can be confirmed during construction stage.

Likewise, constrained associated with saline soil can be addressed if earthworks are carried out in accordance with SMP recommended by Douglas Partners (Reference 2). Recommended mitigation measures to address the abovementioned geotechnical constraints are provided below in this report.

#### 7.0 MITIGATION MEASURES FOR GEOTECHNICAL RISKS

As discussed above in this report, the potential geotechnical constraints or risk on proposed high school construction include variabilities in thickness and nature of existing fill, depth to bedrock and salinity of soils. Table 12 in the following page presents recommended mitigation measures to address these geotechnical constraints or risks.

## 8.0 SIGNIFICANCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Based on nature of potential geotechnical risks or issues at the site, it is our assessment that the potential impacts of proposed activities can be appropriately mitigated or managed in accordance with the recommended mitigation measures presented in Table 12. Therefore, from geotechnical engineering consideration, it is determined that the extent and nature of potential impacts from the proposed activities are "Low" and will not have significant impact on the locality, community and/or the environment.

#### 9.0 CONCLUSIONS

Based on results of PGDS and IGI, it is our assessment that the 9 Gregory Hills, Gledswood Hills, is suitable for construction of proposed new high school from geotechnical engineering considerations provided: (1) geotechnical constraints imposed by presence of saline soils and the possibility that the existing fill are addressed in accordance with mitigation measures provided in this report; and (2) site preparation and design of floor slabs and footings of proposed buildings are carried out in accordance with geotechnical recommendations provided in this report. Furthermore, it is our assessment that an additional investigation is desirable, not necessary, to improve understanding on the variations in the thickness and nature of the fill and variation in the depth to bedrock for structural design purpose and value management. From geotechnical engineering considerations, the extent and nature of potential impacts from the proposed activities are "Low" and will not have significant impact on the locality, community and/or the environment.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Yours faithfully GEOTECHNIQUE PTY LTD

INDRA JWORCHAN Principal Geotechnical Engineer

#### 10.0 LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. Australian Standard AS1726-2017, Geotechnical Site Investigation 2017.
- 2. Douglas Partners, Report on Salinity Investigation and Management Plan, Proposed Subdivision, Lot 701 DP 1154772, Gregory hills Drive, Gledswood Hills, Project 76510.00 May 2012.
- 3. Sherwin, L. and Holmes, G.G (Ed), Geology of the Wollongong and Port Hacking (Map sheets 9029-9129 scale 1 1:100,00), Geological Survey of New South Wales, Department of Mineral Resources, 1986.
- 4. Hazelton, P. A., Bannermann, S. M. and Tille, P. J. (Ed), Soil Landscapes of the Wollongong and Port Hacking (Map sheets 9029-9129 scale 1 1:100,00), Soil Conservation Services of NSW, 1984.
- Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources, Salinity Potential in Western Sydney (scale approximately 1:140.000). 2002.
- NSW Department of Land and Water Conservation, Acid Sulphate Soil Risk Map of Liverpool (Edition 2, 1:25,000) 1997.
- 7. NSW Department of Primary Industries, Office of Water Website, Registered Groundwater Bore Data.
- 8. ADE Consulting Group Pty Ltd, Site Contamination and Imported Fill Summary, Gregory Hills Drive Corporate Park, Report No GRH-05-15898.LTR.V1, Final, 22 March 2019.
- 9. ADE Consulting Group Pty Ltd, Fill Management Protocol, Proposed Commercial Subdivision, Lot 701, DP1154772, Gregory Hills Drive, Gledswood Hills, NSW, Report No 6908/FMP1/V1 Final, 29 November 2013.
- Lillicrap, A and McGhie, S., Site Investigation for Urban Salinity, Department of Land and Water Conservation. 2002.
- 11. Australian Standard AS2870-2011, Residential Slabs and Footings, 2011.
- 12. Australian Standard AS2159-2009, Piling Design and Installation, 2009.
- 13. Australian Standard AS3798-2007, Guidelines on Earthworks for Commercial and Residential Developments, 2007.
- 14. Pells, P. J. N, State of Practice for the Design of Socketed Piles in Rocks, Proceeding 8<sup>th</sup> Australian New Zealand Conference on Geomechnics, Hobart, pp 1-307-327.
- 15. TTW, Erosion and Sediment Control Plan and Notes, Project No GHHS-TTW-01-00-DR-C-02001-2, GHHS-TTW-01-00-DR-C-02101-2, 16 Dec 2024.
- 16. Australian Geomechanics Society Landslide Taskforce, Landslide Practice Note Working Group "Practice Note Guidelines for Landslide Risk Management", March 2007.



Project Stage Design (D) Construction (C) Operation (O)	Mitigation measure	Reason for Mitigation Measure	Relevant Section of Report
D&C	The designer should recognise variability in thickness of fill across the site and ascertain that the design allows for this variability and its implications in project design and costing. It is preferable that the fill thickness variability is confirmed by additional borehole drilling and/or inspections during construction stage to reduce the risk of this variability.	To reduce the risk or uncertainties due to variation in thickness and nature of existing fill. This will assist in design of activity or structures appropriate to the site conditions so that variation claims during construction stage can be minimised. Appropriate design will ensure optimal use of construction materials and minimal environmental impacts.	Section 5.3.2
D, C & O	The designer should recognise variability in the depth to bedrock to ascertain that the designs of activities are appropriate to site conditions and its impact on project design and costing. The depth to bedrock will need to be confirmed by additional borehole drilling or inspections during construction stage	To reduce the risk or uncertainties due to variation in depth to bedrock so that actual founding depths for piers supporting buildings and other major structures are known. This means appropriate and reliable foundation design can be achieved and potential variation claims during construction stage can be minimised. Appropriate and economical design will ensure optimal use of steel, concrete etc and minimal environmental impacts.	Section 5.3.1
D & C	The designer should recognise the possibility that the existing fill across the site is of variable nature. Review results of geotechnical investigations carried out to ascertain the existing fill is suitable as foundation materials. Alternatively, it can be assumed that the existing fill is uncontrolled and ensure the design is suitable for site underlain by uncontrolled fill.	To reduce the risk or uncertainties in the nature of fill so that the site can be prepared appropriately to be suitable for proposed activity and minimise potential risk of variation claims during construction stage. Appropriate design will ensure optimal use of steel, concrete etc and minimal environmental impacts.	Section 5.3.2

## Table 12 – Recommended Mitigation Measures to Manage Geotechnical Risks



20465/5-AA	Amended 1		
9 Greaorv Hil	ls Drive, Grego	orv Hills	

D, C & O	The designer should recognise that the subsurface soils across the site are saline and therefore disturbance and excavation of soils across the site should be carried out in accordance Saline Soil Management Plan (SMP) recommended by Douglas Partners. The cost for management of saline soil should be considered in project costing. It is possible that non-saline soil may be encountered in some portions of the site. If additional testing is carried out to delineate areas with non-saline soil, disturbance, and excavation of non-saline soils may be carried out without SMP.	To manage adverse impacts from the saline soils to the proposed activity and vice versa and to reduce variation claims during construction stage.	Section 5.1.2, 5.3.5, 5.3.15
----------	---	---	---------------------------------

#### ATTACHMENT A

Saline Soil Management Plan

Prepared by Douglas Partners



Integrated Practical Solutions

Report on Salinity Investigation and Management Plan

> Proposed Subdivision Lot 701 in Deposited Plan 1154772 Gregory Hills Drive Gledswood Hills

Prepared for Gregory Hills Corporate Park Pty Ltd





Page 10 of 15

#### 9. Salinity Management Plan

The current satisfy investigation indicates that materials within the Site are non-satine to very saline. Testing of other parameters associated with satisfy indicates that the materials are non-aggressive to severely aggressive to steel in all locations (by the resistivity and chloride criteria of AS2159) and mildly aggressive to moderately aggressive to concrete within the Site (by the pH and sulphate criteria of AS2159). In addition, shallow solis were highly sodic.

The following management strategies are confined to the management of those factors with a potential to impact on the development.

A. Management should focus on capping of the upper surface of the sodic soils, both exposed by excavation and placed as filling, with a more permeable material to prevent ponding, to reduce capillary rise, to act as a drainage layer and to reduce the potential for erosion.

Report on Selinity Investigation and Management Plan Lot 701 in Deposited Plan 1154772, Gregory Hills Drive, Gledewood Hills



- B. When possible, place excavated materials in fill areas with similar salinity characteristics (ie: place material onto in-situ soils with a similar or higher aggressivity or salinity classification). With respect to imported fill material, testing should be undertaken prior to importation, to determine the salinity characteristics of the material, which should be non-aggressive and non-saline to slightly saline where possible but in any case not more aggressive or more saline than the material on which it is to be placed.
- C. Sodic soils can also be managed by maintaining vegetation where possible and planting new sait tolerant species. The addition of organic matter, gypsum and lime can also be considered where appropriate. After gypsum addition, reduction of sodicity levels may require some time for sufficient inflitration and leaching of sodium into the subsolis, however capping of exposed sodic material should remain the primary management method. Topsoil added at the completion of bulk earthworks is, in effect, also adding organic matter which may help inflitration and leaching of sodium.
- D. Avoiding water collecting in low lying areas, in depressions, or behind fill. This can lead to water logging of the solis, evaporative concentration of salts, and eventual breakdown in soli structure resulting in accelerated erosion.
- E. Any pavements should be designed to be well drained of surface water. There should not be excessive concentrations of runoff or ponding that would lead to waterlogging of the pavement or additional recharge to the groundwater through any more permeable zones in the underlying filling material.
- F. Surface drains should generally be provided along the top of batter slopes to reduce the potential for concentrated flows of water down slopes possibly causing scour.
- G. Sait tolerant grasses and trees should be considered for landscaping, to reduce soil erosion as in Strategy A above and to maintain the existing evapo-transpiration and groundwater levels. Reference should be made to an experienced landscape planner or agronomist.

The following additional strategies are recommended for completion of service installation and for building construction. These strategies should be complementary to standard good building practices, including cover to reinforcement within concrete and correct installation of a brick damp course (where used), so that it cannot be bridged to allow moisture to move into brick work and up the wall.

- H. Where materials are classified as non-aggressive to concrete plies (refer Drawing 4), plies should nevertheless have a minimum strength of 32 MPa and a minimum cover to reinforcement of 45 mm (as per AS2159).
- Where materials are classified as mildly aggressive to concrete piles (refer Drawing 4), piles should have a minimum strength of 32 MPa and a minimum cover to reinforcement of 60 mm (as per AS2159) to limit the corrosive effects of the surrounding materials (in accordance with AS2159).
- J. Where materials are classified as moderately aggressive to concrete piles (refer Drawing 4), piles should have a minimum strength of 4D MPa and a minimum cover to reinforcement of 65 mm (as per AS2159) to limit the corrosive effects of the surrounding materials (in accordance with AS2159).

Report on Selinity Investigation and Management Plan Lot 701 in Deposited Plan 1154772, Gregory Hills Drive, Gledewood Hills

Page 12 of 15



- K. With regard to concrete structures, for non-saline and slightly saline materials with salinities less than 4 dS/m (refer Drawing 6):
  - Where materials are classified as non-aggressive to concrete (refer AS3600 A1 and Drawing 3), slabs and foundations should have a minimum strength of 20 MPa, and should be allowed to cure for a minimum of three days (as per AS3600) to limit the corrosive effects of the surrounding materials;
  - Where materials are classified as mildly aggressive to concrete (refer AS3600 A2 and Drawing 3), slabs and foundations should have a minimum strength of 25 MPa, and should be allowed to cure for a minimum of three days (as per AS3600) to limit the corrosive effects of the surrounding materials; and
  - Where materials are classified as moderately aggressive to concrete (refer AS3600 B1 and Drawing 3), slabs and foundations should have a minimum strength of 32 MPa, and should be allowed to cure for a minimum of seven days (as per AS3600) to limit the corrosive effects of the surrounding materials.
- L. With regard to concrete structures, for moderately sailne materials with sailnities of 4 8 dS/m (refer Drawing 6):
  - Where materials are classified as non-aggressive to concrete (refer AS3600 A1 and Drawing 3), slabs and foundations should have a minimum strength of 25 MPa, a minimum cover to reinforcement of 45 mm from unprotected ground and should be allowed to cure for a minimum of three days (as per AS3600) to limit the corrosive effects of the surrounding materials;
  - Where materials are classified as mildly aggressive to concrete (refer AS3600 A2 and Drawing 3), slabs and foundations should have a minimum strength of 25 MPa, a minimum cover to reinforcement of 45 mm from unprotected ground and should be allowed to cure for a minimum of three days (as per AS3600) to limit the corrosive effects of the surrounding materials; and
  - Where materials are classified as moderately aggressive to concrete (refer AS3600 B1 and Drawing 3), slabs and foundations should have a minimum strength of 32 MPa, a minimum cover to reinforcement of 45 mm from unprotected ground and should be allowed to cure for a minimum of seven days (as per AS3600) to limit the corrosive effects of the surrounding materials.
- M. With regard to concrete structures, for very saline materials with salinities of 8 16 dS/m (refer Drawing 6):
  - Where materials are classified as non-aggressive to concrete (refer AS3600 A1 and Drawing 3), slabs and foundations should have a minimum strength of 32 MPa, a minimum cover to reinforcement of 50 mm from unprotected ground and should be allowed to cure for a minimum of seven days (as per AS3600) to limit the corrosive effects of the surrounding materials;
  - Where materials are classified as mildly aggressive to concrete (refer AS3600 A2 and Drawing 3), slabs and foundations should have a minimum strength of 32 MPa, a minimum cover to reinforcement of 50 mm from unprotected ground and should be allowed to cure for a minimum of seven days (as per AS3600) to limit the corrosive effects of the surrounding materials; and

Report on Salinity Investigation and Management Plan Lot 701 in Deposited Plan 1154772, Gregory Hills Drive, Gledewood Hills





- Where materials are classified as moderately aggressive to concrete (refer AS3600 B1 and Drawing 3), slabs and foundations should have a minimum strength of 32 MPa, a minimum cover to reinforcement of 50 mm from unprotected ground and should be allowed to cure for a minimum of seven days (as per AS3600) to limit the corrosive effects of the surrounding materials.
- N. Any future installation of concrete pipes up to a maximum diameter of 750 mm, in the defined areas of moderately saline to very saline or mildly aggressive to moderately aggressive material at services depths, should employ fibre reinforced cement. Alternatively, concrete pipes in these areas should be encased in outer PVC conduits or should have a minimum equivalent strength as defined in L and M above.
- O. Concrete pipes with a larger diameter than 750 mm should utilise sulphate resistant cement.
- P. Resistivity results indicate materials that are aggressive to steel (Drawing 5, Appendix A). This drawing identifies mild aggressivity to steel (1000 2000 Ohm-cm), moderate aggressivity to steel (<1000 Ohm-cm, Condition B) and severe aggressivity to steel (<1000 Ohm-cm, Condition A) over the Site. For these areas, the following corrosion allowances (as per AS 2159 2009) should be taken into account by the designer:</p>
  - Mild: uniform corrosion allowance 0.01 0.02 mm/year;
  - Moderate: uniform corrosion allowance 0.02 0.04 mm/year.
  - Severe: uniform corrosion allowance 0.04 0.1 mm/year

In instances where a coating is applied to the pile, if the design life of the pile is greater than the design life for the coating, consideration must be given to corrosion of the pile in accordance with the above list.

- Q. In all masonary buildings a brick damp course should be installed so that it cannot be bridged either internally or externally. This will prevent moisture moving into brickwork and up the wall.
- R. The use of a bedding layer of sand (100 mm thick), overlain by a membrane of thick plastic (damp proof as opposed to vapour proof), is recommended under concrete slabs to act as a moisture barrier and drainage layer and to restrict capillary rise under the slab. As an alternative method for protection of concrete slabs for non-residential construction, high strength (32 MPa) concrete may be placed directly on a layer of crushed rock. Such rock should be sourced locally from an area classified as non-saline or slightly saline or should be imported after stockpiling, testing and classification as non-saline or slightly saline.

Report on Salinity Investigation and Management Plan Lot 701 in Deposited Plan 1154772, Gregory Hills Drive, Gledswood Hills

## ATTACHMENT B

Drawing No 20645/3-AA1 Plan Showing Locations of Boreholes

Borehole Logs



# engineering log - borehole

form no. 002 version 04 - 05/11

Project : New High Sch					ew Hi	igh Scl	ills Drive, Gledswood Hills Date			ob No.: 20465/3 orehole No.: BH1 ate: 21/11/2023 ogged/Checked by: JH/IJ			I	
d	rill	mod	el an	d mo	ounti	ng :	La	andcru	iser Mounted Drill Rig	slope :	de	eg.	R.L. sı	Irface : 102.198
			nm	bearing : deg.		datum : AHD			AHD					
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRI soil type, plasticity or particle colour, secondary and minor	e characteristic, components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
	DRY			DS					FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, gravel Borehole BH1 terminated at 1 suspected boulder		D			Well compacted
	Pro	ent : oject catic	:	Ne	ew Hi	gh Scl	hool	- Prop	lucation - School Infrasruc perty 7 iledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 27/*	<b>o.: I</b> 11/202	3H1a	
--------	-------------	-------------------------	----------------------	-------------	----------------	------------------------------	-------------	--------------------------	--	----------------	-----------------------	------------------------------	-----------------------------	---
d	rill	mod	el an	d m	ounti	ng :	Т	ruck N	lounted Commachio 200	slope :				irface :
	ho	le di	amete	er :	100	n	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	, depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPT soil type, plasticity or particle ch colour, secondary and minor co	aracteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
					N=19 5,8,11			CI-CH	FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, br FILL: Silty Clay, medium plasticit gravel Silty CLAY, medium to high plast orange	y, brown, with	D	St-VSt		Well compacted

	Pro	ent : oject catic	:	Ne	ew Hi	igh Scl	hool	l - Prop	lucation - School Infrasruc perty 7 iledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 27/*	<b>o.:</b> 1 11/202	3H1a	I
d	rill	mod	el an	d m	ounti	ng :	Т	ruck M	ounted Commachio 200	slope :				irface :
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	n	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPTI soil type, plasticity or particle ch colour, secondary and minor co	aracteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
	DRY			DS		5.5 			SHALE, grey, extremely weather strength SHALE, grey, distinctly weathere strength Borehole BH1a terminated at 8.0 refusal on bedrock	d, medium	M <pl< th=""><th>VSt</th><th></th><th>Bedrock</th></pl<>	VSt		Bedrock

	Pro	ent : oject catic	:	Ne	ew Hi	igh Scl	hool	l - Prop	lucation - School Infrasr perty 7 iledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 21/	<b>o.:</b> 1 11/202	3H2	I
d	rill	mod	el an	d mo	ounti	ng :	La	andcru	iser Mounted Drill Rig	slope :	de	eg.	R.L. sı	Irface: 101.398
	ho	e di	amet	er :	100	n	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :	-	AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRI soil type, plasticity or particle colour, secondary and minor	e characteristic, components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
						0  0.5			FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, gravel	brown, with	D			Well compacted
		U <sub>50</sub>		DS		1								
	DRY			DS		-								
	<u>≺</u>					-1.5 			Borehole BH2 terminated at 1 refusal on suspected boulder	.5m due to				

P	lient rojeo ocat		N	ew H	igh Sc	hoo	l - Prop	lucation - School Infrasru perty 7 iledswood Hills	Borel Date	nole N : 21/*	<b>o.: I</b> 11/202	BH3	I
dril	l mo	del an	d m	ounti	ing :	L	andcru	iser Mounted Drill Rig	slope :				rface: 100.868
h	ole c	liamet	er :	100	r	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		AHD
method aroundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIF soil type, plasticity or particle colour, secondary and minor	characteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
			DS		0.5			FILL: Silty Clay, medium plasti gravel	icity, brown, with	D			Well compacted
								Borehole BH3 terminated at 3. suspected boulder	0m on				

	Pro	ent : oject catio	:	Ne	ew Hi	igh Sc	hoo	l - Prop	lucation - School Infrasruc perty 7 Gledswood Hills	Borel Date	hole N : 23/*	<b>o.:</b> 1 11/202	3H3a	I
d	rill	mod	el an	d m	ounti	ng :	Т	ruck N	lounted Commachio 200	slope :	de			Irface: 100.868
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	r	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPT soil type, plasticity or particle cl colour, secondary and minor co	haracteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
								CI-CH	FILL: Silty Clay, medium plastici gravel Silty CLAY, medium to high plas brown		D M <pl< th=""><th>St-VSt</th><th></th><th>Residual</th></pl<>	St-VSt		Residual

	Pro	ent : oject catic	:	Ne	ew Hi	gh Sc	hoo	l - Prop	lucation - School Infrasruc perty 7 Bledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 23/	<b>o.: I</b> 11/202	3H3a	I
d			el an			ng :	Т	ruck N	lounted Commachio 200	slope :	de	g.	R.L. su	rface : 100.868
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	r	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPT soil type, plasticity or particle ch colour, secondary and minor co	naracteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
	DRY			DS	N=19 4,8,11	5.5 — - - - - - - - - - - - - -			SHALE, grey, distinctly weathere medium strength, with ironstone Borehole BH3a terminated at 7.0	fragments				Bedrock

	Pro	ent : oject catio	::	Ne	ew Hi	igh Sc	hoo	l - Prop	lucation - School Infrasr perty 7 iledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 21/	<b>o.:</b>   11/202	BH4	J
d	rill	mod	lel an	d m	ounti	ng :	L	andcru	iser Mounted Drill Rig	slope :				Irface : 100.281
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	r	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRI soil type, plasticity or particle colour, secondary and minor	e characteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
metho	unoJB DRY		PID re (ppm)	DS		updem un	graph	classi syrr		components. brown, with		consideration of the second se	hand kPa	observations           Well compacted
							-							

Γ

	Pro	ent : oject catic	:	Ne	ew Hi	igh Scl	າວວ	l - Prop	lucation - School Infrasi perty 7 iledswood Hills		Borel Date	hole N : 08/*	<b>o.:</b> E	3H4a	
dı	rill ı	mod	el an	d m	ounti	ng :	С	omma	chio 200 Track Mount [			de			irface :
	hol	e di	amet	er :	100	n	nm		bearing :	de	eg.	dat	um :		
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCR soil type, plasticity or particl colour, secondary and minor	e character r componer	nts.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
					N=26 8,8,18 N=34 8,13,21				FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity gravel	, brown, w	<i>i</i> th	D	D		Well compacted

	Pro	ent : oject catic	:	Ne	ew Hi	igh Sc	hool	l - Prop	lucation - School Infrasructure perty 7 iledswood Hills	Borel Date	hole N : 08/*	<b>o.:</b> E	3H4a	
d	rill	mod	el an	d mo	ounti	ng :	С	omma	chio 200 Track Mount Drill <b>Rito</b>	pe :	de	g. I	R.L. su	irface :
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	r	nm		bearing : de	eg.	dat	um :		
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION soil type, plasticity or particle character colour, secondary and minor componen		moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
					4,17, HB	5.5 — - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		CI-CH	Silty CLAY, medium to high plasticity, b grey SHALE, brown to grey, extremely to dist weathered, low to medium strength		M≤PL	St		Residual
	DRY				N=44 7,21,24									
						7.5 — — 8 — 8 — 8.5 — 9 — 9 — 9.5 — —			Borehole BH4a terminated at 7.4m due auger refusal	to				

	Pro	ent : oject catic	::	Ne	ew Hi	igh Scl	hool	- Prop	lucation - School Infrasr perty 7 iledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 21/	<b>o.:</b> 1 11/202	BH5	I
d	rill	mod	lel an	d m	ounti	ng :	La	andcru	iser Mounted Drill Rig	slope :	de	eg.	R.L. su	Irface : 100.281
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	n	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRI soil type, plasticity or particle colour, secondary and minor	characteristic, components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
	DRY			DS					FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, gravel Borehole BH5 terminated at 1 refusal on suspected boulder					Well compacted

	Pro	ent : oject catio	:	Ne	ew Hi	igh Sc	hoo	l - Prop	lucation - School Infrasr perty 7 iledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 21/	<b>o.:</b>   11/202	BH6	I
d	rill	mod	el an	d m	ounti	ng :	La	andcru	iser Mounted Drill Rig	slope :				Irface: 101.423
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	r	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRI soil type, plasticity or particle colour, secondary and minor	characteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
metho	unouß DRY		PID re (ppm)	DS		table un         utidep         0         -         0.5         -         0.5         -         1         -         1.5         -         2         -         2.5         -         3.5         -         3.5         -         4         -         4.5	graphi	classi		components. brown, with		consis densit	hand penet	
							-							-

	Pro	ent : oject catio	::	Ne	ew Hi	igh Sc	hoo	l - Prop	lucation - School Infrasr perty 7 Gledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 21/	<b>o.:</b>   11/202	BH7	I
d	rill	mod	lel an	d m	ounti	ng :	La	andcru	iser Mounted Drill Rig	slope :				Irface: 102.365
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	r	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRI soil type, plasticity or particle colour, secondary and minor	e characteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
				DS		v       -         0       -         -       -         0.5       -         0.5       -         1       -         1.5       -         2       -         2.5       -         3.5       -         3.5       -         4       -         -       -         4.5       -			FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, gravel Borehole BH7 terminated at 0 refusal on suspected boulder	brown, with				Well compacted Large objects noted 

P	ro	ent : ject atio	:	Ne	ew Hi	igh Scl	nool	- Prop	lucation - School Infrasm perty 7 iledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 21/	<b>o.:</b> 1 11/202	3H8	I
dri	ll r	nod	el an	d mo	ounti	ng :	La	andcru	iser Mounted Drill Rig	slope :	de	eg.	R.L. su	Irface: 102.589
h	ol	e dia	amet	er :	100	n	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		AHD
method	grounawater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRI soil type, plasticity or particle colour, secondary and minor	e characteristic, components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
				DS		0.5			FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, gravel	brown, with	D			Well compacted Likely large object
	<								Borehole BH8 terminated at 1 refusal on suspected boulder	.3m due to				

Γ

P	lient rojec ocati	t :	Ne	ew Hi	igh Scl	າວວ	l - Prop	lucation - School Infras perty 7 ledswood Hills	ructure	Bore Date	No.: 2 hole N : 08/ <sup>/</sup> ed/Che	<b>o.:</b> E	3H8a 3	
dril	l mo	del an	d m	ounti	ng :	С	omma	chio 200 Series Track I	Moun <b>slo</b>		de			irface :
h	ole d	iamet	er :	100	n	nm		bearing :	de	eg.	dat	um :		
method aroundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCR soil type, plasticity or particl colour, secondary and mino	le characte r compone	ents.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
				N=3 1,1,12 N=31 7,13,18				FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity gravel	, brownn,	, with				Well compacted

	Pro	ent : oject catio	:	Ne	ew Hi	gh Scl	hool	- Prop	Gledswood Hills Date	No.: 2 ehole N e: 08/ ged/Che	l <b>o.:</b> E 12/202	3H8a 3	I
d			el an amet			-	C nm	omma	chio 200 Series Track Moun <b>slope</b> : bearing : deg.		eg. ∣ um :	R.L. su	irface :
method	/ater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION soil type, plasticity or particle characteristic, colour, secondary and minor components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
					N=30 6,12,18	5 — — 5 — — 5.5 — — 6 — — 7 — — 7.5 — — 7 — — 8 — — 8.5 — — 9 — — 9 — — 9.5 — —		CI-CH	Silty CLAY, medium to high plasticity, brown Silty CLAY, medium to high plasticity, brown mottled grey	M≤PL	VSt		Residual

Р	-	t: ect: tion:	N	ew Hi	igh Scl	hool	l - Prop	lucation - School Infrasructure perty 7 iledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 08/1	<b>o.:</b> E	3H8a	
		odel ar			ng :	С	omma	chio 200 Series Track Mour <b>si</b> o	ope :	de	-	R.L. su	rface :
h	ole	diamet	er:	100		nm		bearing : d	leg.	datı	um :		
method droundwater	and campies	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION soil type, plasticity or particle charact colour, secondary and minor compon		moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
DRY								SHALE, grey, extremely weathered, lo strength Borehole BH8a terminated at 12.5m	w				Bedrock
					 13.5 	-							
					 14   14.5	-							

	Pro	ent : oject catic	:	Ne	ew Hi	igh Scl	hool	- Prop	lucation - School Infrasr perty 7 iledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 21/*	<b>o.:</b> 1 11/202	3H9	I
d	rill	mod	el an	d mo	ounti	ing :	La	andcru	iser Mounted Drill Rig	slope :	de	eg.	R.L. sı	Irface : 102.510
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	n	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRI soil type, plasticity or particle colour, secondary and minor	e characteristic, components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
<u>ш</u>	16 DRY	<u>a</u>		DS		<u> </u>		<u>.</u>	FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, gravel FILL: Gravelly Clay, low to me grey Borehole BH9 terminated at 1 refusal	brown, with		5 5		Well compacted
						2  2.5  3  3.5  4 4  4.5  4.5 								

	Pro	ent : oject catio	::	N	ew Hi	igh Sc	hool	l - Prop	lucation - School Infrasruc perty 7 Bledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 27/*	<b>o.:</b> 1 11/202	BH9a	J
d	rill	mod	lel an	d m	ounti	ng :	С	omma	chio 200 Truck Mounted	slope :	de	g.	R.L. su	Irface : 102.589
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	r	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPT soil type, plasticity or particle ch colour, secondary and minor co	naracteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
					N=24 4,10,14				FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, br gravel		D			Well compacted

	Pro	ent : oject catic	::	Ne	ew Hi	igh Sc	hool	l - Prop	lucation - School Infrasruc perty 7 Bledswood Hills	Borel Date	hole N : 27/*	<b>o.:</b> 1 11/202	3H9a	I
d	rill	mod	lel an	d mo	ounti	ing :	С	omma	chio 200 Truck Mounted	slope :	de	eg.	R.L. sı	Irface : 102.589
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	r	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :	-	AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPT soil type, plasticity or particle ch colour, secondary and minor co	haracteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
	DRY					5								
	RY								Borehole BH9a terminated at 5.3 refusal on suspected boulder	3m due to				

	Pro	ent : oject catic	:	Ne	ew Hi	igh Scl	nool	- Prop	lucation - School Infrasm perty 7 Gledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 21/	<b>o.: I</b> 11/202	3H10	J
d	rill	mod	el an	d mo	ounti	ng :	La	andcru	iser Mounted Drill Rig	slope :				Irface : 102.061
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	r	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRI soil type, plasticity or particle colour, secondary and minor	e characteristic, components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
	DRY			DS					FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, gravel Borehole BH10 terminated at refusal on suspected boulder		D			Well compacted

	Pro	ent : oject catio	:	N	ew Hi	gh Sc	hool	l - Prop	ducation - School Infrasru perty 7 Gledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 22/	<b>o.: I</b> 11/202	3H11	I
d	rill	mod	el an	d m	ounti	ng :	E	dson S	Series 100 Truck Mount	slope :	de	g.	R.L. su	Irface: 102.115
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	r	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIP soil type, plasticity or particle colour, secondary and minor c	characteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
				DS					FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, t gravel		D			Well compacted

	Pro	ent : oject catio	:	Ne	ew Hi	igh Sc	hoo	l - Proj	ducation - School Infrasru perty 7 Gledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 22/	<b>o.:</b> 1 11/202	BH11	1
d	rill	mod	el an	d m	ounti	ng :	E	dson S	Series 100 Truck Mount	slope :				Irface : 102.115
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	r	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIP soil type, plasticity or particle colour, secondary and minor c	characteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
				DS		5 —		CI-CH	FILL: Silty Clay, medium plastic		D M≤PL	St-VSt		Well compacted

	Pro	ent : oject catio	:	N	ew Hi	igh Scl	hool	l - Prop	lucation - School Infrasru perty 7 iledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 22/	<b>o.:</b> 1 11/202	BH11	I
d	rill	mod	el an	d m	ounti	ng :	Е	dson S	Series 100 Truck Mount	slope :	de	g.	R.L. su	Irface : 102.115
L	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	n	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIP soil type, plasticity or particle of colour, secondary and minor c	characteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
	DRY								SHALE, grey, distinctly weather medium strength					Bedrock
L														

	Pro	ent : oject catio	::	N	ew Hi	gh Sc	hool	l - Prop	ducation - School Infrasruc perty 7 Gledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 23/	<b>o.:</b> 1 11/202	BH12	I
d	rill	mod	lel an	d m	ounti	ng :	Т	ruck N	lounted Commachio 200	slope :	de	eg.	R.L. sı	Irface : 101.352
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	r	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPT soil type, plasticity or particle ch colour, secondary and minor co	haracteristic, mponents.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
					N=26 5,12,14 N=25 4,10,15 N=32 11,15,17				FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, br gravel	own with	D			Well compacted

	Pro	ent : oject catio	:	Ne	ew Hi	gh Sc	hool	l - Prop	lucation - School Infrasruc perty 7 iledswood Hills	Borel Date	hole N : 23/*	<b>o.:</b> I 11/202	3H12	J
d	rill	mod	el an	d mo	ounti	ng :	T	ruck N	lounted Commachio 200	slope :	de	g.	R.L. sı	Irface : 101.352
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	r	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :	-	AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPTI soil type, plasticity or particle ch colour, secondary and minor col	aracteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
								CI-CH	Silty CLAY, medium to high plast orange SHALE, brown-grey, extremely w strength SHALE, brown-grey, distinctly we medium strength	reathered, low	M⊴PL	St-VSt		Residual

	Pre	ent : oject catio	::	Ne	ew H	igh Scl	hoo	l - Prop	ducation - School Infrasruc perty 7 Gledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 23/	<b>o.:</b> I 11/202	BH12	I
d	rill	mod	lel an	d m	ounti	ing :	Т	ruck N	lounted Commachio 200	slope :	de	g.	R.L. sı	Irface : 101.352
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	n	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPT soil type, plasticity or particle cl colour, secondary and minor co	haracteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
	DRY			DS		 10 			Perchala PU12 terminated at 10	2.00				
									Borehole BH12 terminated at 10	.3m				

	Pro	ent : oject catio	:	Ne	ew Hi	gh Sc	hool	- Prop	ducation - School Infrasruc perty 7 Gledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 27/*	<b>o.:</b>   11/202	BH13	I
d	rill	mod	el an	d m	ounti	ng :	С	omma	chio Mounted Truck Drill	slope :	de	eg.	R.L. sı	Irface : 101.143
	ho	e di	amet	er :	100	r	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPT soil type, plasticity or particle ch colour, secondary and minor co	haracteristic, mponents.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
					N=37 6,15,22 N=25 6,13,12				FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, br gravel	own, with	D	D		Well compacted

	Pro	ent : oject catic	:	Ne	ew Hi	igh Sc	hool	l - Prop	lucation - School Infrasruc perty 7 iledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 27/*	<b>o.:</b> E	3H13	J
d	rill	mod	el an	d mo	ounti	ing :	С	omma	chio Mounted Truck Drill	slope :				Irface : 101.143
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	r	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPT soil type, plasticity or particle ch colour, secondary and minor co	naracteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
	DRY			DS				CI-CH	Silty CLAY, medium to high plass orange Borehole BH13 terminated at 8.0		M≤PL	St-VSt		Residual

	Pro	ent : oject catic	:	Ne	ew Hi	gh Sc	hool	l - Proj	lucation - School Infrasru perty 7 Gledswood Hills	Borel Date	hole N : 22/*	<b>o.: I</b> 11/202	3H14	I
d	rill	mod	el an	d m	ounti	ng :	E	dson S	Series 100 Truck Mount	slope :	de			Irface : 101.243
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	r	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	<ul> <li>depth or R.L.</li> <li>in meters</li> </ul>	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIF soil type, plasticity or particle colour, secondary and minor (	characteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
				DS					FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, gravel Silty Clay, medium plasticity, b		D			Well compacted

	Pro	ent : oject catio	:	Ne	ew Hi	igh Sc	hool	l - Prop	lucation - School Infrasru perty 7 iledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 22/*	<b>o.:</b> I 11/202	3H14	J
d	rill	mod	el an	d m	ounti	ng :	Е	dson S	Series 100 Truck Mount	slope :	de	eg.	R.L. sı	Irface : 101.243
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	r	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :	-	AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIP soil type, plasticity or particle colour, secondary and minor c	characteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
				DS		5.5 - - - - - - - - - - - - -			Silty CLAY, medium to high pla brown	sticity, orange-	M≤PL	St-VSt		Residual

	Pro	ent : oject catio	::	Ne	ew Hi	igh Sc	hool	- Prop	lucation - School Infrasru perty 7 Bledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 22/	<b>o.:</b> 1 11/202	3H14	I
d	rill	mod	lel an	d m	ounti	ing :	E	dson S	Series 100 Truck Mount	slope :	de	g.	R.L. sı	Irface : 101.243
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	r	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :	-	AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIP soil type, plasticity or particle of colour, secondary and minor c	characteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
	DRY								SHALE, grey, extremely to disti weathered, low to medium streed Borehole BH14 terminated at 1	ngth				Bedrock

drill model and mounting :       Truck Mounted Commachio 200 slope :       deg.       R.L. surface : 100.455         hole diameter :       100       mm       bearing :       deg.       datum :       AHD         individual interview       ging of the state interview       ging of the state interview       ging of the state interview       deg.       R.L. surface : 100.455         individual interview       ging of the state interview       AHD         individual interview       ging of the state interview </th <th>Pr</th> <th>ient ojec ocatio</th> <th>t :</th> <th>Ne</th> <th>ew Hi</th> <th>gh Sc</th> <th>hool</th> <th>- Prop</th> <th>ducation - School Infrasruc perty 7 Gledswood Hills</th> <th>Bore Date</th> <th>hole N : 27/*</th> <th><b>o.:</b> 1 11/202</th> <th>BH15</th> <th>I</th>	Pr	ient ojec ocatio	t :	Ne	ew Hi	gh Sc	hool	- Prop	ducation - School Infrasruc perty 7 Gledswood Hills	Bore Date	hole N : 27/*	<b>o.:</b> 1 11/202	BH15	I
Total         Set         Set </th <th>drill</th> <th>mod</th> <th>lel an</th> <th>d m</th> <th>ounti</th> <th>ng :</th> <th>Т</th> <th>ruck N</th> <th>lounted Commachio 200</th> <th>slope :</th> <th>de</th> <th>eg.</th> <th>R.L. sı</th> <th>Irface : 100.459</th>	drill	mod	lel an	d m	ounti	ng :	Т	ruck N	lounted Commachio 200	slope :	de	eg.	R.L. sı	Irface : 100.459
Particle       Particle       FILL: Sity Clay, low plasticity, brown, with provided proved       D       Weil comparised         0.5       -       -       -       -       -       -         1       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         1       - <td< th=""><th>hc</th><th>le di</th><th>amet</th><th>er :</th><th>100</th><th>r</th><th>nm</th><th></th><th>bearing :</th><th>deg.</th><th>dat</th><th></th><th></th><th>AHD</th></td<>	hc	le di	amet	er :	100	r	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat			AHD
Particle       Paricle       Paricle       P	method groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	soil type, plasticity or particle ch	aracteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	additional
					6,11,12				gravel FILL: Gravelly Clay, low to mediu		D			
	┞┤╣						×		Borehole BH15 terminated at 4.8	m due to				

	Pro	ent : oject catio	::	Ne	ew Hi	igh Scl	າວວ	l - Prop	lucation - School Infrasruc perty 7 Gledswood Hills	Bore Date	No.:2 hole N :27/ <sup>/</sup> ed/Che	<b>o.:</b> I 11/202	3H15 3	I
d	rill	mod	lel an	d m	ounti	ng :	Т	ruck N	lounted Commachio 200	slope :	de			rface: 100.459
	ho	le di	amet	er :	100	n	nm		bearing :	deg.	dat	um :		AHD
method	groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field test	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPT soil type, plasticity or particle cl colour, secondary and minor co	haracteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
						5.5 			refusal on concrete boulder					

# engineering log - excavation

F		nt : ect : ntion	:	New	High	Sch	ool - P	Education - School Property 7 e, Gledswood Hills	Infrasru	Pit I Date	No: e: 22	TP1a 2/11/20	23	
-	Faui	nmor	nt tv	no ar	nd mo		1	5.5 Tonne Excavato	)r	Log	-		by: J⊦ Irface :	
					sions		2.		.45	m wide		latum		AHD
						•			.45	iii wide				
groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field tests	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DE soil type, plasticity or p colour, secondary and	article cha	racteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
					0			FILL: Silty Clay, low plas gravel	ticity, brow	n with	D			with sandstone boulders Well compacted
					_			5						_
					_									_
					0.5 ——									
					_									
					_									_
					1									
					_									_
					_									-
					1.5									
														_
					_									_
					2									
					_									_
					2.5 ——									
					_									-
					_									
					3			TP1a Terminated due to	limit of rea	ich				
														-
					3.5 —									
					_									_
					4									
					_									_
					4.5									

# engineering log - excavation

Pr	-	nt : ect : ntion	:	New	High S	Sch	ool - P	Education - School Property 7 e, Gledswood Hills	Infrasru	Pit I Date	No: e: 22	TP4a 2/11/20		1
Eq	qui	pmei	nt ty	pe ar	nd mo	del:	1	5.5 Tonne Excavato	or	209;			rface :	
					sions		2.	.4 m long	.45	m wide	c	latum	:	AHD
groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field tests	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DE soil type, plasticity or p colour, secondary and	article cha	aracteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
groun	envs	PID re (ppm)	s oab	field tests		graph	classi	FILL: Silty Clay, low plas gravel	minor co	mponents.		consideration	hand penet kPa	observations           Well compacted
								TP4a Terminated due to	limit of roa	ach.				

# engineering log - excavation

F		nt : ect : ntion	:	New	/ High 🕄	Sch	ool - P	Education - School Inf roperty 7 e, Gledswood Hills	Pit I Date	No: <sup>-</sup> e: 22	TP5a 2/11/20		1
E	Equi	pmei	nt ty	pe ar	nd mo	del:		5.5 Tonne Excavator				rface :	
E	Exca	vatio	on di	imen	sions	:	2.	4 <b>m long</b> .4	5 <b>m wide</b>	d	latum	:	AHD
groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field tests	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCF soil type, plasticity or partic colour, secondary and min	cle characteristic, nor components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
					0  0.5			FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticit gravel	y, brown with				with sandstone and concrete boulders Well compacted
					-								
					1								
					1.5								
					2								
								TP5a Terminated due to refu	isal on large				
					-			boulder					
					3 —								
					 3.5 								
					 4								
					  4.5								
# engineering log - excavation

		nt : ect : ation	:	New	/ High 🕄	Sch	ool - P	Education - School I Property 7 e, Gledswood Hills	Pit N Date	No: 2 No: Ti e: 22/ <sup>/</sup> ged/Che	P6a 11/20	23	
	Eaui	pmei	nt tv	pe ar	nd mo	del:		5.5 Tonne Excavato				rface :	
					sions		2.		.45 <b>m wide</b>		atum		AHD
groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field tests	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DES soil type, plasticity or pa colour, secondary and r	rticle characteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
JB	ua		90 	fie ter		But and a second s	<u> </u>	FILL: Silty Clay, low plasti gravel	city, brown with	Ĕ 8	de co	ha Pe	with sandstone boulders           Well compacted
					4  4.5  4.5 								

form no. 001 version 04 - 05/11

# engineering log - excavation

F	-	nt : ect : ation	:	New	High S	Sch	ool - P	f Education - School Property 7 e, Gledswood Hills	Infrasru	Pit N Date	No: <sup>-</sup> ∋: 22	TP8a 2/11/20		1
E	Equi	pmer	nt ty	pe ar	nd mo	del:		5.5 Tonne Excavate	or				rface :	
E	Exca	vatio	n di	imen	sions	:	2.	.4 m long	.45	m wide	c	latum	:	AHD
groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field tests	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DE soil type, plasticity or p colour, secondary and	article cha	aracteristic,	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
								FILL: Silty Clay, low plas gravel						with sandstone boulders           Well compacted

form no. 001 version 04 - 05/11



Log Symbols & Appreviations (Non-cored Borenole Log)	Log Symbols & Abbreviations	(Non-cored	<b>Borehole Log)</b>
--	-----------------------------	------------	----------------------

Log Column	Symbol/Value	Description
Drilling Method	V-bit	Hardened steel 'V' shaped bit attached to auger
	TC-bit	Tungsten Carbide bit attached to auger
	RR	Tricone (Rock Roller) bit
	DB	Drag bit
Groundwater	BB Dry	Blade bit Groundwater not encountered to the drilled or auger refusal depth
	V	
		Groundwater level at depths shown on log
Environment Sample	GP	Groundwater seepage at depths shown on log Glass bottle and plastic bag sample over depths shown on log
	G	Glass bottle sample over depths shown on log
	Р	Plastic bag sample over depths shown on log
PID Reading	100	PID reading in ppm
Geotechnical Sample	DS	Disturbed Small bag sample over depths shown on log
	DB	Disturbed Bulk sample over depths shown on log
Field Test	U <sub>50</sub> N=10	Undisturbed 50mm tube sample over depths shown on log Standard Penetration Test (SPT) 'N' value. Individual numbers indicate blows per
	3,5,5	150mm penetration.
	N=R	'R' represents refusal to penetration in hard/very dense soils or in cobbles or
	10,15/100	boulders.
		The first number represents10 blows for 150mm penetration whereas the second number represents 15 blows for 100mm penetration where SPT met refusal
	DCP/PSP 5	Dynamic Cone Penetration (DCP) or Perth Sand Penetrometer (PSP). Each
	6	number represents blows per 100mm penetration. 'R/10' represents refusal after
	-	10mm penetration in hard/very dense soils or in gravels or boulders.
	R/1	0
Classification	GP	Poorly Graded GRAVEL
	GW	Well graded GRAVEL
	GM GC	Silty GRAVEL Clayey GRAVEL
	SP	Poorly graded SAND
	SW	Well graded SAND
	SM	Silty ŠAND
	SC	Clayey SAND
	ML	SILT / Sandy SILT / clayey SILT, low plasticity
	MI MH	SILT / Sandy SILT / clayey SILT, medium plasticity SILT / Sandy SILT / clayey SILT, high plasticity
	CL	CLAY / Silty CLAY / Sandy CLAY / Gravelly CLAY, low plasticity
	CI	CLAY / Silty CLAY / Sandy CLAY / Gravelly CLAY, medium plasticity
	СН	CLAY / Silty CLAY / Sandy CLAY / Gravelly CLAY, high plasticity
Moisture Condition		
Cohesive soils	M <pl M=PL</pl 	Moisture content less than Plastic Limit Moisture content equal to Plastic Limit
	M=PL M>PL	Moisture content to be greater than Plastic Limit
Cohesionless soils	D	Dry - Runs freely through hand
	M W	Moist - Tends to cohere
Consistency		Wet         Tends to cohere           Term         Undrained shear strength,         Hand Penetrometer
Cohesive soils	VS	$C_u$ (kPa) (Qu)
	S	Very Soft ≤12 <25
	F	Śoft >12 & ≤25 25 - 50
	St	Firm >25 & ≤50 50 – 100
	VSt	Stiff >50 & ≤100 100 − 200
	Н	Very Stiff         >100 & ≤200         200 - 400           Hard         >200         >400
Density Index		Term         Density Index, I <sub>D</sub> (%)         SPT 'N' (blows/300mm)
Cohesionless soils	VL	Very Loose ≤15 ≤5
	L	Loose >15 & ≤35 >5 & ≤10
	M	Medium Dense         >35 & ≤65         >10 & ≤30
	D	Dense         >65 & ≤85         >30 & ≤50
Hand Ponotromator	VD 100	Very Dense >85 >50
Hand Penetrometer	100 200	Unconfined compressive strength (q <sub>u</sub> ) in kPa determined using pocket penetrometer, at depths shown on log
		Geological origin of soils
Remarks		
Remarks	Residual	Residual soils above bedrock
Remarks	Alluvium	River deposited Alluvial soils
Remarks		
?emarks	Alluvium Colluvial	River deposited Alluvial soils Gravity deposited Colluvial soils

# GEOTECHNIQUE PTY LTD

### AS1726 : 2017– Unified Soil Classification System

Major D	Divisions	Particle size (mm)	Group Symbol	Typical Names	Field Identi	fications Sand a	nd Gravels				Laboratory classifica	tion	
OVERSIZE	BOULDERS	>200							% Fines (2)	Plasticity of Fine Fraction	$C_u = D_{60}/D_{10}$	$C_c = (D_{30})^2 / (D_{10}D_{60})$	Notes
OVERSIZE	COBBLES	63						'su					
		Coarse 19	GW	Well-graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines		rain size and subs te sizes, not enoug o dry strength		or Divisio	≤5	-	>4	between 1 and 3	1. Identify lines by the method given for fine
	GRAVEL (more than half of coarse fraction is		GP	Poorly graded gravels, gravel- sand mixtures, little or no fines, uniform gravels	some intermedia	one size or range o ate sizes missing, arse grains, no dry	not enough	given in 'Major Divisions'	≤5	-	Fails to con	nply with above	grained soils
	larger than 2.36mm)	Martinez C 7	GM	Silty gravels, gravel-sand-silt mixtures	'Dirty' materials zero to medium	with excess of nor dry strength	n-plastic fines,	iteria give	≥12	Below 'A' line or I <sub>p</sub> <4	-	-	2. Borderline classifications occur when the
COARSE GRAINED SOIL (more than 65% of		Medium 6.7	GC	Clayey gravels, gravel-sand-clay mixtures	'Dirty' materials medium to high	with excess of pla dry strength	stic fines,	to the criteria	≥12	Above 'A' line or I <sub>p</sub> >7	-	-	percentage of fines (fraction smaller than 0.075mm size)
soil excluding oversize fraction is greater than 0.075mm)		Fine 2.36	SW	Well-graded sands, gravelly sands, little or no fines		rain size and subs te sizes, not enou- o dry strength		according t	≤5		>6	between 1 and 3	<ul> <li>greater than 5%</li> <li>and less than</li> <li>12%. Borderline</li> <li>classifications</li> </ul>
0.0751111	SAND (more than half of	Coarse 0.6 Medium 0.21	SP	Poorly graded sands and gravelly sands; little or no fines, uniform sands	some intermedia	one size or range o ate sizes missing, arse grains, no dry	not enough	classification of fractions	≤5	-	Fails to con	ply with above	require the use of dual symbols e.g. SP-SM, G GC
	coarse fraction is smaller than 2.36mm)	Medium 0.2 T	SM	Silty sands, sand-silt mixtures	'Dirty' materials zero to medium	with excess of nor dry strength	n-plastic fines,	ification o	≥12	Below 'A' line or $I_p < 4$	-	-	
		Fine 0.075	SC	Clayey sand, sand-clay mixtures	'Dirty' materials medium to high	with excess of pla dry strength	stic fines,	o.	≥12	Above 'A' line of I <sub>p</sub> >7	-	-	
		1 116 0.073	ML	Inorganic silts and very fine sands, rock flour, silty or clayey fine sands or clayey silts with slight	Dry Strength None to low	Dilatancy Slow to	Toughness Low	ng 63mm f		Below 'A'			
	SILT (0.075mm to 0.0 CLAY (<0.002mm) Liquid Limit<50%	002mm) &	CL, CI	plasticity Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, gravelly clays, sandy clays, silty clays, lean clays	Medium to high	rapid None to very slow	Medium	gradation of material passing	E E	line Above 'A' line	<sup>60</sup>		<b>a</b>
FINE GRAINED			OL	Organic silts and organic silty clays of low plasticity	Low to medium	Slow	Low	tion of ma	sing 0.075	Below 'A' line	50 -		1100 200
SOIL (more than 35% of soil excluding oversize raction is less than			MH	Inorganic silts, micaceous or diatomaceous fine sandy or silty soils, elastic silts	Low to medium	None to slow	Low to medium	the	More than 35% passing 0.075mm	Below 'A' line	DO NO	CH or OH	
raction is less than 0.075mm)	SILT (0.075mm to 0.002mm) & CLAY (<0.002mm) Liquid Limit>50%		СН	Inorganic clays of medium to high plasticity, fat clays	High to very high	None	High	Use	More than	Above 'A' line		OL MH or 6	н
			OH (1)	Organic clays of medium to high plasticity, organic silts	Medium to high	None to very slow	Low to medium		-	Below 'A' line		ML or OL 30 40 50 60 7/ LIQUID LIMIT W <sub>L</sub> , %	0 80 90
	HIGHLY ORGANIC S	SOILS	Pt (1)	Peat and highly organic soils	Identified by colo generally by fibr	our, odour, spong ous texture	feel and		Effervesce	s with H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>			



# Log Symbols & Abbreviations (Cored Borehole Log)

Log Column	Symbol / Abbreviation	Description		
Core Size	NQ NMLC	Nominal Core Size (mn 47 52	n)	
Water Loss	HQ —	63 Complete water loss		
		Partial water loss		
Weathering (AS1726:2017)	RS	Residual Soil	Material is weathered to such properties. Mass structure and of original rock are no longer v been significantly transported	material texture and fabric
	XW	Extremely Weathered	Material is weathered to such properties. Mass structure and of original rock are still visible	
	HW	Highly Weathered	The whole of the rock material iron staining or bleaching to the the original rock is not recogn significantly changed by wea minerals have weathered to cla be increased by leaching, or n deposition of weathering product	e extent that the colour of izable. Rock strength is thering. Some primary y minerals. Porosity may nay be decreased due to
	MW	Moderately Weathered	The whole of the rock material iron staining or bleaching to the the original rock is not recognize change of strength from fresh ro	e extent that the colour of able, but shows little or no
	SW	Slightly Weathered	Rock is partially discoloured v along joints but shows little or n fresh rock	
	FR	Fresh	Rock shows no sign of dea minerals or colour changes	composition of individual
		Distinctly Weathered (I changed by weatheri	possible to distinguish between H DW) may be used. DW is defined ng. The rock may be highly may be increased by leaching, g products in pores'	as 'Rock strength usually discoloured, usually by
Strength (AS1726:2017)	VL L M H VH	Very Low Low Medium High Very High	Point Load Strength Index (I <sub>s50</sub> , ≥0.03 ≤ 0.1 >0.1 ≤0.3 >0.3 ≤1 >1 ≤3 >3 ≤10 >10	MPa)
Defect Spacing	EH	Extremely High Description Extremely closely spaced Very closely spaced Medium spaced Widely spaced Very widely spaced Extremely widely spaced		Spacing (mm) <20 20 to 60 60 to 200 200 to 600 600 to 2000 2000 to 6000 >6000
Defect Description (AS1726:2017)				
Туре	Pt Jo Sh Sz Ss Cs Is Ews	Parting Joint Sheared Surface Sheared Zone Sheared Seam Crushed Seam Infilled Seam Extremely Weathered S	Seam	
Macro-surface geometry	St Cu Un Ir Pl	Stepped Curved Undulating Irregular Planar		
Micro-surface geometry	Vro Ro Sm Po Sl	Very Rough Rough Smooth Polished Slickensided		
Coating or infilling	cn sn vn cg	clean stained veneer coating		



Grain S	lize mm				Be	dded rock	s (mostly	sedimentary)			
More than 20	20		ain Size scription			At leas	st 50% of	grains are of car	bonate	At least 50% of grains are of fine-grained volcanic rock	
	6	RUD	PACEOUS	CONGLOMERATE Rounded boulders, cob cemented in a finer mat Breccia Irregular rock fragments	trix		DLOMITE ed)	Calcirudite		Fragments of volcanic ejecta in a finer matrix Rounded grains AGGLOMERATE Angular grains VOLCANIC BRECCIA	SALINE ROCKS Halite Anhydrite
	0.6	ARENACEOUS	Coarse Medium Fine	SANDSTONE Angular or rounded grai cemented by clay, calci Quartzite Quartz grains and silice Arkose Many feldspar grains Greywacke	te or iron minerals		LIMESTONE and DOLOMITE (undifferentiated)	Calcarenite		Cemented volcanic ash	Gypsum
	0.06 0.002 Less than	ARGI	LLACEOUS	Many rock chips MUDSTONE SHALE Fissile	SILTSTONE Mostly silt CLAYSTONE Mostly clay	Calcareous Mudstone		Calcisiltite Calcilutite	CHALK	Fine-grained TUFF	
Amorpho crypto-cry				Flint: occurs as hands o Chert: occurs as nodule	of nodules in the cha		calcareou	s sandstone			COAL LIGNITE
				Granular cemented – e:	xcept amorphous roo	cks					
				SILICEOUS		CALCA	REOUS			SILICEOUS	CARBONACEOUS
					ks vary greatly in stre					any Igneous rocks. Bedding c rocks derived from them, co	
				Calcareous rocks conta	in calcite (calcium c	arbonate)	which eff	ervesces with dil	ute hydro	chloric acid	

### AS1726 – Identification of Sedimentary Rocks for Engineering Purposes

### AS1726 – Identification of Metamorphic and Igneous Rocks for Engineering Purposes

Obviously fo	liated rocks (mostly metamorphic)		Rocks with	massive structure	and crystalline texture	(mostly igneous)		Grain size (mm)
Grain size description			Grain size description	Pe	egmatite		Pyrosenite	More than 20
	GNEISS	MARBLE				_	Peridorite	20
	Well developed but often widely spaced foliation sometimes with schistose bands	QUARTZITE		GRANITE	Diorite	GABBRO	Peridonte	6
COARSE	schistose banos	Granulite	COARSE		e sometimes are then described, porphyritic granite			6
	Migmatite Irregularly foliated: mixed schists and gneisses	HORNFELS						2
	SCHIST Well developed undulose foliation; generally much mica	Amphibolite		Micorgranite	Microdiorite			0.6
MEDIUM		Serpentine	MEDIUM	These rocks are phorphyritic and as porphyries	e sometimes are then described	Dolerite		0.2
								0.06
	PHYLLITE Slightly undulose foliation; sometimes 'spotted'			RHYOLITE	ANDESITE	54041 <b>-</b>		0.002
FINE	SLATE Well developed plane cleavage (foliation)		FINE	These rocks are phorphyritic and as porphyries	sometimes are then described	BASALT		Less than 0.002
	Mylonite Found in fault zones, mainly in igneous and metamorphic areas			Obsidian	Volcanic glass			Amorphous or cryptocrystallin e
CRYSTALLIN	Ē			Pale<			>Dark	
SILICEOUS		Mainly SILICEOUS		ACID Much quartz	INTERMEDIATE Some quartz	BASIC Little or no quartz	ULTRA BASIC	
impart fissility. foliated metan Any rock bake and is general	IIC ROCKS phic rocks are distinguished by foliation Foliation in gneisses is best observe norphics are difficult to recognize exce d by contact metamorphism is describ ly somewhat stronger than the parent tamorphic rocks are strong although p	d in outcrop. Non- pt by association. ed as 'hornfels' rock		closely interlocking	g mineral grains. Stron ; 2 Laccoliths; 3 Sills; 4			

### ATTACHMENT C

Laboratory Test Results



### **TEST RESULTS - ATTERBERG LIMITS** Test Procedure AS1289 3.1.1, 3.2.1, 3.3.1, 3.4.1

NSW DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION - SCHOOL INFRASTRUCTUR PO BOX 33 SYDNEY NSW 2000

Laboratory: 20465/1

Job No:

Penrith

#### PROJECT: PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION NEW HIGH SCHOOL - PROPERTY 7 - 9 GREGORY HILLS DRIVE, GLEDSWOOD HILLS

Data Tasta I. 40/04/000		Tested Dur		Page 2
Date Tested: 10/01/2024	4	Tested By:	BG	
		Checked By:	AK	
Sample Identification	Borehole 13			
Laboratory Number	20465/1-4			
Depth (m)	1.5 - 1.95			
Test Description				
Liquid Limit (W <sub>L</sub> )	43%			
Plastic Limit (W <sub>P</sub> )	18%			
Plastic Index (I <sub>P</sub> )	25%			
Linear Shrinkage (LS)	11.5%			
Mould Length (mm)	127			
Sample History	Oven Dried Dry Sieved			
Material Description	FILL: Silty Clay, medium plasticity, brown, some fine to medium gravel			
Form No R004 Version 13 - 07/21 - I	ssued by ER			Report Date
~			A Kench	15/01/2024
NATA	Accredited for compliance with ISO/	EC 17025 - Testing.		
				Mah

Nata Accreditation Number 2734 Corporate Site Number 2727

34 Borec Road, Penrith NSW 2750 Telephone: (02) 4722 2744

Approved Signatory Unit 4, 18-20 Whyalla Place, Prestons NSW 2170 Telephone: (02) 9607 6111 email: info@geotech.com.au www.geotech.com.au



### TEST RESULTS - ATTERBERG LIMITS Test Procedure AS1289 3.1.1, 3.2.1, 3.3.1, 3.4.1

NSW DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION - SCHOOL INFRASTRUCTUR	Laboratory:	Penrith
PO BOX 33	Job No:	20465/3
SYDNEY NSW 2000		

# PROJECT: INTRUSIVE GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION NEW HIGH SCHOOL - PROPERTY 7 - 9 GREGORY HILLS DRIVE, GLEDSWOOD HILLS

Date Tested: 10/01/2024		Tested By:	BG	0
		Checked By:	AK	
Sample Identification	Borehole 2	Borehole 5	Bo	rehole 10
Laboratory Number	20465/1-1	20465/1-2	20	)465/1-3
Depth (m)	0.7 - 1.0	0.8 - 1.0	0	.7 - 0.9
Test Description				
Liquid Limit ( $W_L$ )	41%	Not Obtainable		36%
Plastic Limit (W <sub>P</sub> )	16%	15%		16%
Plastic Index (I <sub>P</sub> )	25%	Not Obtainable		20%
Linear Shrinkage (LS)	13.0%	1.5%		11.0%
Mould Length (mm)	127	127		125
Sample History	Oven Dried Dry Sieved	Oven Dried Dry Sieved	-	ven Dried y Sieved
Material Description	FILL: Silty Clay, medium plasticity, brown, some fine to medium gravel	FILL: Silty Clay, non plastic, brown, some fine to medium gravel	medium p	Clay, low to lasticity, brown to medium
Form No R004 Version 13 - 07/21 - Issu	ued by ER			Report Date
$\wedge$		vith ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing.	A Kench	15/01/2024

All

Nata Accreditation Number 2734 Corporate Site Number 2727

NATA

34 Borec Road, Penrith NSW 2750 Telephone: (02) 4722 2744 <u>Approved Signatory</u> Unit 4, 18-20 Whyalla Place, Prestons NSW 2170 Telephone: (02) 9607 6111

email: info@geotech.com.au www.geotech.com.au



# **ANALYTICAL REPORT**





ontact	Indra Jworchan	Manager	Huong Crawford
lient	Geotechnique	Laboratory	SGS Alexandria Environmental
ddress	P.O. Box 880 NSW 2751	Address	Unit 16, 33 Maddox St Alexandria NSW 2015
ephone	02 4722 2700	Telephone	+61 2 8594 0400
acsimile	02 4722 6161	Facsimile	+61 2 8594 0499
ail	indra.jworchan@geotech.com.au	Email	au.environmental.sydney@sgs.com
oject	20465/3 Gregory Hills Drive, Gregory Hil	SGS Reference	SE258512 R0
der Number	20465/3	Date Received	19/12/2023
mples	24	Date Reported	4/1/2024

COMMENTS

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing. NATA accredited laboratory 2562(4354).

SIGNATORIES

Dong LIANG Metals/Inorganics Team Leader



Ying Ying ZHANG Laboratory Technician

SGS Australia Pty Ltd ABN 44 000 964 278

Environment, Health and Safety

Unit 16 33 Maddox St PO Box 6432 Bourke Rd BC Alexandria NSW 2015 Alexandria NSW 2015

t +61 2 8594 0400 f +61 2 8594 0499 Australia Australia

www.sgs.com.au

Page 1 of 8



### SE258512 R0

### Conductivity and TDS by Calculation - Soil [AN106] Tested: 28/12/2023

0.5-0.7         1.0-1.2         0.8-1.0         1.0-1.2         2.8-5           15/12/2023         15/12/2023         15/12/2023         15/12/2023         15/12/2023         15/12/2023	Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	µS/cm	1	300	480	300	530	260
0.5-0.7 1.0-1.2 0.8-1.0 1.0-1.2 2.8-5	PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.001	SE258512.002	SE258512.003	SE258512.004	SE258512.005
				15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
SOIL SOIL SOIL SOIL SOIL SOI				0.5-0.7	1.0-1.2	0.8-1.0	1.0-1.2	2.8-3.0
				SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
BH1 BH1 BH2 BH3 BH				BH1	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH3

			BH4	BH8	BH8	BH9	BH9
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			0.4-0.6	0.4-0.6	1.0-1.2	0.4-0.6	1.0-1.2
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.006	SE258512.007	SE258512.008	SE258512.009	SE258512.010
Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	µS/cm	1	360	280	390	420	420

			BH11	BH11	BH11	BH11	BH12
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			1.0-1.2	3.0-3.2	5.0-5.2	7.0-7.2	1.5-1.95
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.011	SE258512.012	SE258512.013	SE258512.014	SE258512.015
Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	µS/cm	1	310	410	400	460	520

			BH12	BH12	BH12	BH15	BH15
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			3.0-3.45	4.5-4.95	10.0-10.2	1.5-1.95	3.0-3.45
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.016	SE258512.017	SE258512.018	SE258512.019	SE258512.020
Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	µS/cm	1	510	410	510	450	350

			BH14	BH14	BH14	BH14
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			1.0-1.2	3.0-3.2	5.0-5.2	7.5-7.7
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.021	SE258512.022	SE258512.023	SE258512.024
Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	µS/cm	1	510	460	450	410



## SE258512 R0

### Moisture Content [AN002] Tested: 27/12/2023

			BH1	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH3
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			0.5-0.7	1.0-1.2	0.8-1.0	1.0-1.2	2.8-3.0
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.001	SE258512.002	SE258512.003	SE258512.004	SE258512.005
% Moisture	%w/w	1	9.4	9.2	6.6	9.9	12.8

			BH4	BH8	BH8	BH9	BH9
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			0.4-0.6	0.4-0.6	1.0-1.2	0.4-0.6	1.0-1.2
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.006	SE258512.007	SE258512.008	SE258512.009	SE258512.010
% Moisture	%w/w	1	9.8	6.6	4.6	6.8	8.9

			BH11	BH11	BH11	BH11	BH12
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			1.0-1.2	3.0-3.2	5.0-5.2	7.0-7.2	1.5-1.95
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.011	SE258512.012	SE258512.013	SE258512.014	SE258512.015
% Moisture	%w/w	1	10.9	10.2	10.6	11.1	8.5

			BH12	BH12	BH12	BH15	BH15
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			3.0-3.45	4.5-4.95	10.0-10.2	1.5-1.95	3.0-3.45
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.016	SE258512.017	SE258512.018	SE258512.019	SE258512.020
% Moisture	%w/w	1	10.7	9.0	11.3	12.3	9.6

			BH14	BH14	BH14	BH14
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			1.0-1.2	3.0-3.2	5.0-5.2	7.5-7.7
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.021	SE258512.022	SE258512.023	SE258512.024
% Moisture	%w/w	1	8.1	11.8	11.3	10.9



### Soluble Anions in Soil from 1:2 DI Extract by Ion Chromatography [AN245] Tested: 27/12/2023

			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			0.5-0.7	1.0-1.2	0.8-1.0	1.0-1.2	2.8-3.0
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	15/12/2023 SE258512.001	15/12/2023 SE258512.002	15/12/2023 SE258512.003	15/12/2023 SE258512.004	15/12/2023 SE258512.005
Chloride	mg/kg	0.25	150	200	90	140	230
Sulfate	mg/kg	0.5	270	380	330	590	140
			BH4	BH8	BH8	BH9	BH9
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			0.4-0.6	0.4-0.6	1.0-1.2	0.4-0.6	1.0-1.2
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.006	SE258512.007	SE258512.008	SE258512.009	SE258512.010
Chloride	mg/kg	0.25	120	70	170	160	170
Sulfate	mg/kg	0.5	280	330	390	340	350

			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			1.0-1.2	3.0-3.2	5.0-5.2	7.0-7.2	1.5-1.95
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.011	SE258512.012	SE258512.013	SE258512.014	SE258512.015
Chloride	mg/kg	0.25	170	150	180	190	210
Sulfate	mg/kg	0.5	310	350	330	290	530

			BH12	BH12	BH12	BH15	BH15
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			3.0-3.45	4.5-4.95	10.0-10.2	1.5-1.95	3.0-3.45
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.016	SE258512.017	SE258512.018	SE258512.019	SE258512.020
Chloride	mg/kg	0.25	170	130	580	110	130
Sulfate	mg/kg	0.5	610	380	170	340	200

			BH14	BH14	BH14	BH14
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			1.0-1.2	3.0-3.2	5.0-5.2	7.5-7.7
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.021	SE258512.022	SE258512.023	SE258512.024
Chloride	mg/kg	0.25	280	190	230	190
Sulfate	mg/kg	0.5	400	290	280	270



# SE258512 R0

### pH in soil (1:2) [AN101] Tested: 27/12/2023

pH (1:2)	pH Units	-	7.4	7.8	8.0	8.1	6.2
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.001	SE258512.002	SE258512.003	SE258512.004	SE258512.005
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
			0.5-0.7	1.0-1.2	0.8-1.0	1.0-1.2	2.8-3.0
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			BH1	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH3
			DUU	DUU	DUO	DUO	DUID

			BH4	BH8	BH8	ВН9	BH9
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			0.4-0.6	0.4-0.6	1.0-1.2	0.4-0.6	1.0-1.2
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.006	SE258512.007	SE258512.008	SE258512.009	SE258512.010
pH (1:2)	pH Units	-	7.6	7.9	8.1	8.1	8.1

			BH11	BH11	BH11	BH11	BH12
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			1.0-1.2	3.0-3.2	5.0-5.2	7.0-7.2	1.5-1.95
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.011	SE258512.012	SE258512.013	SE258512.014	SE258512.015
pH (1:2)	pH Units	-	5.7	8.3	8.2	8.4	7.9

			BH12	BH12	BH12	BH15	BH15
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			3.0-3.45	4.5-4.95	10.0-10.2	1.5-1.95	3.0-3.45
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.016	SE258512.017	SE258512.018	SE258512.019	SE258512.020
pH (1:2)	pH Units	-	7.9	7.9	8.6	8.2	8.5

			BH14	BH14	BH14	BH14
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			1.0-1.2	3.0-3.2	5.0-5.2	7.5-7.7
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.021	SE258512.022	SE258512.023	SE258512.024
pH (1:2)	pH Units	-	7.9	8.3	8.2	8.2



### Conductivity (1:2) in soil [AN106] Tested: 27/12/2023

			BH1	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH3
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			0.5-0.7	1.0-1.2	0.8-1.0	1.0-1.2	2.8-3.0
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.001	SE258512.002	SE258512.003	SE258512.004	SE258512.005
Conductivity (1:2) @25 C*	µS/cm	1	690	810	640	870	510
Resistivity (1:2)*	ohm cm	-	1500	1200	1600	1100	2000
			BH4	BH8	BH8	BH9	BH9
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			0.4-0.6	0.4-0.6	1.0-1.2	0.4-0.6	1.0-1.2
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.006	SE258512.007	SE258512.008	SE258512.009	SE258512.010
Conductivity (1:2) @25 C*	µS/cm	1	660	570	810	770	760
Resistivity (1:2)*	ohm cm	-	1500	1800	1200	1300	1300
			BH11	BH11	BH11	BH11	BH12
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			1.0-1.2	3.0-3.2	5.0-5.2	7.0-7.2	1.5-1.95
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.011	SE258512.012	SE258512.013	SE258512.014	SE258512.015
Conductivity (1:2) @25 C*	µS/cm	1	530	750	700	720	930
Resistivity (1:2)*	ohm cm	-	1900	1300	1400	1400	1100
			BH12	BH12	BH12	BH15	BH15
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			3.0-3.45	4.5-4.95	10.0-10.2	1.5-1.95	3.0-3.45
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.016	SE258512.017	SE258512.018	SE258512.019	SE258512.020
Conductivity (1:2) @25 C*	µS/cm	1	870	720	1000	670	610

			BH14	BH14	BH14	BH14
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			1.0-1.2	3.0-3.2	5.0-5.2	7.5-7.7
			15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023	15/12/2023
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE258512.021	SE258512.022	SE258512.023	SE258512.024
Conductivity (1:2) @25 C*	μS/cm	1	890	740	730	650
Resistivity (1:2)*	ohm cm	-	1100	1400	1400	1500



METHOD	METHODOLOGY SUMMARY
AN002	The test is carried out by drying (at either 40°C or 105°C) a known mass of sample in a weighed evaporating basin. After fully dry the sample is re-weighed. Samples such as sludge and sediment having high percentages of moisture will take some time in a drying oven for complete removal of water.
AN101	pH in Soil Sludge Sediment and Water: pH is measured electrometrically using a combination electrode and is calibrated against 3 buffers purchased commercially. For soils, an extract with water is made at a ratio of 1:2 and the pH determined and reported on the extract after 1 hour extraction (pH 1:2) or after 1 hour extraction and overnight aging (pH (1:2) aged). Reference APHA 4500-H+.
AN106	Conductivity and TDS by Calculation: Conductivity is measured by meter with temperature compensation and is calibrated against a standard solution of potassium chloride. Conductivity is generally reported as $\mu$ mhos/cm or $\mu$ S/cm @ 25°C. For soils, an extract of as received sample with water is made at a ratio of 1:5 and the EC determined and reported on the extract, or calculated back to the as-received sample. Salinity can be estimated from conductivity using a conversion factor, which for natural waters, is in the range 0.55 to 0.75. Reference APHA 2510 B.
AN106	Resistivity of the extract is reported on the extract basis and is the reciprocal of conductivity. Salinity and TDS can be calculated from the extract conductivity and is reported back to the soil basis.
AN245	Anions by Ion Chromatography: A water sample or extract is injected into an eluent stream that passes through the ion chromatographic system where the anions of interest ie Br, Cl, NO2, NO3 and SO4 are separated on their relative affinities for the active sites on the column packing material. Changes to the conductivity and the UV-visible absorbance of the eluent enable identification and quantitation of the anions based on their retention time and peak height or area. APHA 4110 B



#### FOOTNOTES -

*	NATA accreditation does not cover
	the performance of this service.
**	Indicative data, theoretical holding
	time exceeded.

\*\*\* Indicates that both \* and \*\* apply. NVL IS I NR

Not analysed. Not validated. Insufficient sample for analysis. Sample listed, but not received. UOM Unit of Measure. LOR Limit of Reporting. Raised/lowered Limit of î↓ Reporting.

Unless it is reported that sampling has been performed by SGS, the samples have been analysed as received. Solid samples expressed on a dry weight basis.

Where "Total" analyte groups are reported (for example, Total PAHs, Total OC Pesticides) the total will be calculated as the sum of the individual analytes, with those analytes that are reported as <LOR being assumed to be zero. The summed (Total) limit of reporting is calculated by summing the individual analyte LORs and dividing by two. For example, where 16 individual analytes are being summed and each has an LOR of 0.1 mg/kg, the "Totals" LOR will be 1.6 / 2 (0.8 mg/kg). Where only 2 analytes are being summed, the "Total" LOR will be the sum of those two LORs.

Some totals may not appear to add up because the total is rounded after adding up the raw values.

If reported, measurement uncertainty follow the ± sign after the analytical result and is expressed as the expanded uncertainty calculated using a coverage factor of 2, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95%, unless stated otherwise in the comments section of this report.

Results reported for samples tested under test methods with codes starting with ARS-SOP, radionuclide or gross radioactivity concentrations are expressed in becquerel (Bq) per unit of mass or volume or per wipe as stated on the report. Becquerel is the SI unit for activity and equals one nuclear transformation per second.

Note that in terms of units of radioactivity:

- a. 1 Bq is equivalent to 27 pCi b.
- 37 MBq is equivalent to 1 mCi

For results reported for samples tested under test methods with codes starting with ARS-SOP, less than (<) values indicate the detection limit for each radionuclide or parameter for the measurement system used. The respective detection limits have been calculated in accordance with ISO 11929.

The QC and MU criteria are subject to internal review according to the SGS QAQC plan and may be provided on request or alternatively can be found here: www.sqs.com.au/en-gb/environment-health-and-safety

This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client only. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law .

This report must not be reproduced, except in full.



# STATEMENT OF QA/QC PERFORMANCE

CLIENT DETAILS		LABORATORY DETAI	LS
Contact Client Address	Indra Jworchan Geotechnique P.O. Box 880 NSW 2751	Manager Laboratory Address	Huong Crawford SGS Alexandria Environmental Unit 16, 33 Maddox St Alexandria NSW 2015
Telephone	02 4722 2700	Telephone	+61 2 8594 0400
Facsimile	02 4722 6161	Facsimile	+61 2 8594 0499
Email	indra.jworchan@geotech.com.au	Email	au.environmental.sydney@sgs.com
Project	20465/3 Gregory Hills Drive, Gregory Hil	SGS Reference	<b>SE258512 R0</b>
Order Number	20465/3	Date Received	19 Dec 2023
Samples	24	Date Reported	04 Jan 2024

COMMENTS .

All the laboratory data for each environmental matrix was compared to SGS' stated Data Quality Objectives (DQO). Comments arising from the comparison were made and are reported below.

The data relating to sampling was taken from the Chain of Custody document. This QA/QC Statement must be read in conjunction with the referenced Analytical Report. The Statement and the Analytical Report must not be reproduced except in full.

#### All Data Quality Objectives were met with the exception of the following:

Extraction Date	Conductivity (1:2) in soil	24 items
	Conductivity and TDS by Calculation - Soil	24 items
	pH in soil (1:2)	24 items
	Soluble Anions in Soil from 1:2 DI Extract by Ion Chromatography	24 items
Analysis Date	Conductivity (1:2) in soil	24 items
	Conductivity and TDS by Calculation - Soil	24 items

Sample counts by matrix	24 Soil	Type of documentation received	COC	
Date documentation received	20/12/2023@6:30pr	Samples received in good order	Yes	
Samples received without headspace	N/A	Sample temperature upon receipt	25.8°C	
Sample container provider	Client	Turnaround time requested	Standard	
Samples received in correct containers	Yes	Sufficient sample for analysis	Yes	
Sample cooling method	None	Samples clearly labelled	Yes	
Complete documentation received	Yes			

SGS Australia Pty Ltd ABN 44 000 964 278 Environment, Health and Safety Unit 16 33 Maddox St PO Box 6432 Bourke Rd Alexandria NSW 2015 Alexandria NSW 2015 t +61 2 8594 0400 f +61 2 8594 0499

Australia

Australia

0499 Member of the SGS Group

www.sgs.com.au



# HOLDING TIME SUMMARY

SGS holding time criteria are drawn from current regulations and are highly dependent on sample container preservation as specified in the SGS "Field Sampling Guide for Containers and Holding Time" (ref: GU-(AU)-ENV.001). Soil samples guidelines are derived from NEPM "Schedule B(3) Guideline on Laboratory Analysis of Potentially Contaminated Soils". Water sample guidelines are derived from "AS/NZS 5667.1 : 1998 Water Quality - sampling part 1" and APHA "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" 21st edition 2005.

Extraction and analysis holding time due dates listed are calculated from the date sampled, although holding times may be extended after laboratory extraction for some analytes. The due dates are the suggested dates that samples may be held before extraction or analysis and still be considered valid.

Extraction and analysis dates are shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria. If the

#### nductivity (1-2) in coll

Conductivity (1:2) in soil							Method:	ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN
Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
BH1	SE258512.001	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH1	SE258512.002	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH2	SE258512.003	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH3	SE258512.004	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH3	SE258512.005	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH4	SE258512.006	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH8	SE258512.007	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH8	SE258512.008	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH9	SE258512.009	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH9	SE258512.010	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH11	SE258512.011	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH11	SE258512.012	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH11	SE258512.013	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH11	SE258512.014	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH12	SE258512.015	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH12	SE258512.016	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH12	SE258512.017	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH12	SE258512.018	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH15	SE258512.019	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH15	SE258512.020	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH14	SE258512.021	LB300598	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†
BH14	SE258512.022	LB300598	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†
BH14	SE258512.023	LB300598	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†
BH14	SE258512.024	LB300598	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†
Conductivity and TDS by C	Calculation - Soil						Method:	ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN
Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
BH1	SE258512.001	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH1	SE258512.002	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†

BH1	SE258512.001	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH1	SE258512.002	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH2	SE258512.003	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH3	SE258512.004	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH3	SE258512.005	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH4	SE258512.006	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH8	SE258512.007	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH8	SE258512.008	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH9	SE258512.009	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH9	SE258512.010	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH11	SE258512.011	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH11	SE258512.012	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH11	SE258512.013	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH11	SE258512.014	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH12	SE258512.015	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH12	SE258512.016	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH12	SE258512.017	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH12	SE258512.018	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH15	SE258512.019	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH15	SE258512.020	LB300641	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH14	SE258512.021	LB300640	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH14	SE258512.022	LB300640	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH14	SE258512.023	LB300640	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
BH14	SE258512.024	LB300640	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†	22 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023†
Moisture Content							Method:	ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN00
Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
BH1	SE258512.001	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
BH1	SE258512.001	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
BH1	SE258512.002	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
BH2	SE258512.003	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
BH3	SE258512.004	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
BH3	SE258512.005	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
BH4	SE258512.006	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
BH8	SE258512.007	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023



# HOLDING TIME SUMMARY

SGS holding time criteria are drawn from current regulations and are highly dependent on sample container preservation as specified in the SGS "Field Sampling Guide for Containers and Holding Time" (ref: GU-(AU)-ENV.001). Soil samples guidelines are derived from NEPM "Schedule B(3) Guideline on Laboratory Analysis of Potentially Contaminated Soils". Water sample guidelines are derived from "AS/NZS 5667.1 : 1998 Water Quality - sampling part 1" and APHA "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" 21st edition 2005.

Extraction and analysis holding time due dates listed are calculated from the date sampled, although holding times may be extended after laboratory extraction for some analytes. The due dates are the suggested dates that samples may be held before extraction or analysis and still be considered valid.

Extraction and analysis dates are shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria. If the

#### Moisture Content (continued)

Voisture Content (continued	)						Method:	ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN
Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
BH8	SE258512.008	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
3H9	SE258512.009	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
3H9	SE258512.010	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
BH11	SE258512.011	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
BH11	SE258512.012	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
3H11	SE258512.013	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
3H11	SE258512.014	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
3H12	SE258512.015	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
3H12	SE258512.016	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
3H12	SE258512.017	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
3H12	SE258512.018	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
3H15	SE258512.019	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
3H15	SE258512.020	LB300540	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
3H14	SE258512.021	LB300537	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
3H14	SE258512.022	LB300537	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
3H14	SE258512.023	LB300537	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
BH14	SE258512.024	LB300537	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	29 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023	01 Jan 2024	28 Dec 2023
	32230312.024	Eb300337	13 Dec 2023	13 Dec 2023	23 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023		ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN
H in soil (1:2) Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
Sample Name 3H1								
	SE258512.001	LB300597	15 Dec 2023 15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023 19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023
3H1	SE258512.002	LB300597			22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023
3H2	SE258512.003	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023
3H3	SE258512.004	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023
3H3	SE258512.005	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023
3H4	SE258512.006	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023
3H8	SE258512.007	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023
3H8	SE258512.008	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023
3H9	SE258512.009	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023
3H9	SE258512.010	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023
BH11	SE258512.011	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023
3H11	SE258512.012	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023
3H11	SE258512.013	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023
3H11	SE258512.014	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023
3H12	SE258512.015	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023
3H12	SE258512.016	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023
3H12	SE258512.017	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023
3H12	SE258512.018	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023
3H15	SE258512.019	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023
3H15	SE258512.020	LB300597	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	28 Dec 2023
3H14	SE258512.021	LB300598	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023
3H14	SE258512.022	LB300598	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023
3H14	SE258512.023	LB300598	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023
3H14	SE258512.024	LB300598	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	28 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023
oluble Anions in Soil from	1:2 DI Extract by Ion Chr	omatography						ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN
Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
3H1	SE258512.001	LB300599	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	24 Jan 2024	03 Jan 2024
8H1	SE258512.002	LB300599	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	24 Jan 2024	03 Jan 2024
3H2	SE258512.003	LB300599	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	24 Jan 2024	03 Jan 2024
3H3	SE258512.003	LB300599	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	24 Jan 2024	03 Jan 2024
8H3	SE258512.004	LB300599	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023† 27 Dec 2023†	24 Jan 2024	03 Jan 2024
3H4	SE258512.005	LB300599	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023† 27 Dec 2023†	24 Jan 2024 24 Jan 2024	03 Jan 2024 03 Jan 2024
		LB300599						
3H8	SE258512.007		15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	24 Jan 2024	03 Jan 2024
3H8	SE258512.008	LB300599	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	24 Jan 2024	03 Jan 2024
3H9	SE258512.009	LB300599	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	24 Jan 2024	03 Jan 2024
3H9	SE258512.010	LB300599	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	24 Jan 2024	03 Jan 2024
BH11	SE258512.011	LB300599	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	24 Jan 2024	03 Jan 2024
3H11	SE258512.012	LB300599	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	24 Jan 2024	03 Jan 2024
BH11	SE258512.013	LB300599	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	24 Jan 2024	03 Jan 2024
3H11	SE258512.014	LB300599	15 Dec 2023	19 Dec 2023	22 Dec 2023	27 Dec 2023†	24 Jan 2024	03 Jan 2024



### HOLDING TIME SUMMARY

SGS holding time criteria are drawn from current regulations and are highly dependent on sample container preservation as specified in the SGS "Field Sampling Guide for Containers and Holding Time" (ref: GU-(AU)-ENV.001). Soil samples guidelines are derived from NEPM "Schedule B(3) Guideline on Laboratory Analysis of Potentially Contaminated Soils". Water sample guidelines are derived from "AS/NZS 5667.1 : 1998 Water Quality - sampling part 1" and APHA "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" 21st edition 2005.

Extraction and analysis holding time due dates listed are calculated from the date sampled, although holding times may be extended after laboratory extraction for some analytes. The due dates are the suggested dates that samples may be held before extraction or analysis and still be considered valid.

Extraction and analysis dates are shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria. If the

#### Soluble Anions in Soil from 1:2 DI Extract by Ion Chromatography (continued) Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN245 Sample Name Sample No. QC Ref Sampled Received Extraction Due Extracted Analysis Due Analysed BH12 SE258512.015 LB300599 15 Dec 2023 19 Dec 2023 22 Dec 2023 27 Dec 2023+ 24 Jan 2024 03 Jan 2024 BH12 SE258512.016 LB300599 19 Dec 2023 22 Dec 2023 24 Jan 2024 03 Jan 2024 15 Dec 2023 27 Dec 2023† BH12 SE258512.017 LB300599 15 Dec 2023 19 Dec 2023 22 Dec 2023 27 Dec 2023† 24 Jan 2024 03 Jan 2024 BH12 SE258512.018 LB300599 15 Dec 2023 19 Dec 2023 22 Dec 2023 27 Dec 2023† 24 Jan 2024 03 Jan 2024 BH15 SE258512.019 LB300599 15 Dec 2023 19 Dec 2023 22 Dec 2023 27 Dec 2023† 24 Jan 2024 03 Jan 2024 BH15 SE258512 020 I B300599 15 Dec 2023 19 Dec 2023 22 Dec 2023 27 Dec 2023† 24 Jan 2024 03 Jan 2024 BH14 SE258512.021 LB300600 15 Dec 2023 19 Dec 2023 22 Dec 2023 27 Dec 2023† 24 Jan 2024 28 Dec 2023 BH14 SE258512.022 LB300600 19 Dec 2023 22 Dec 2023 24 Jan 2024 28 Dec 2023 15 Dec 2023 27 Dec 2023† BH14 SE258512 023 LB300600 15 Dec 2023 19 Dec 2023 22 Dec 2023 27 Dec 2023† 24 Jan 2024 28 Dec 2023 SE258512.024 BH14 LB300600 15 Dec 2023 19 Dec 2023 22 Dec 2023 27 Dec 2023† 24 Jan 2024 28 Dec 2023



# **SURROGATES**

Surrogate results are evaluated against upper and lower limit criteria established in the SGS QA/QC plan (Ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). At least two of three routine level soil sample surrogate spike recoveries for BTEX/VOC are to be within 70-130% where control charts have not been developed and within the established control limits for charted surrogates. Matrix effects may void this as an acceptance criterion. Water sample surrogate spike recoveries are to be within 40-130%. The presence of emulsions, surfactants and particulates may void this as an acceptance criterion.

Result is shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended reason identifer when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

No surrogates were required for this job.



# **METHOD BLANKS**

### SE258512 R0

Blank results are evaluated against the limit of reporting (LOR), for the chosen method and its associated instrumentation, typically 2.5 times the statistically determined method detection limit (MDL).

Result is shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria.

### Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN106

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB300597.001	Conductivity (1:2) @25 C*	µS/cm	1	<1
LB300598.001	Conductivity (1:2) @25 C*	µS/cm	1	<1

#### Conductivity and TDS by Calculation - Soil

Conductivity (1:2) in soil

Conductivity and TDS by Calculation - Soil		Meth	od: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN106	
Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB300640.001	Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	μS/cm	1	1.33
LB300641.001	Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	µS/cm	1	0.8

#### Soluble Anions in Soil from 1:2 DI Extract by Ion Chromatography

Soluble Anions in Soil from 1:2 DI Extr	act by Ion Chromatography		N	lethod: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN245
Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB300599.001	Chloride	mg/kg	0.25	<0.25
	Sulfate	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5
LB300599.025	Chloride	mg/kg	0.25	<0.25
	Sulfate	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5
LB300600.001	Chloride	mg/kg	0.25	<0.25
	Sulfate	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5



Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN002

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN245

Duplicates are calculated as Relative Percentage Difference (RPD) using the formula: RPD = | OriginalResult - ReplicateResult | x 100 / Mean

The RPD is evaluated against the Maximum Allowable Difference (MAD) criteria and can be graphically represented by a curve calculated from the Statistical Detection Limit (SDL) and Limiting Repeatability (LR) using the formula: MAD = 100 x SDL / Mean + LR

Where the Maximum Allowable Difference evaluates to a number larger than 200 it is displayed as 200.

RPD is shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended reason identifer when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

NOTE: The RPD reported is calculated from the unrounded data for the original and replicate result. Manual calculation of the RPD from the rounded data reported may

#### Conductivity (1.2) in soil

Conductivity (1:2)	nductivity (1:2) in soil Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN106							
Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE258512.010	LB300597.014	Conductivity (1:2) @25 C*	µS/cm	1	760	780	30	3
		Resistivity (1:2)*	ohm cm	-	1300	1300	31	3
SE258512.020 LB300597	LB300597.025	Conductivity (1:2) @25 C*	µS/cm	1	610	630	30	3
		Resistivity (1:2)*	ohm cm	-	1600	1600	31	3
SE258512.022	LB300598.014	Conductivity (1:2) @25 C*	μS/cm	1	740	750	30	3
		Resistivity (1:2)*	ohm cm	-	1400	1300	31	3
SE258512.024	LB300598.017	Conductivity (1:2) @25 C*	µS/cm	1	650	640	30	1
		Resistivity (1:2)*	ohm cm	-	1500	1600	31	1
Conductivity and T	nductivity and TDS by Calculation - Soil Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN106							

#### Conductivity and TDS by Calculation - Soil

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE258512.010	LB300641.014	Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	µS/cm	1	420	410	30	1
SE258512.020	LB300641.025	Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	µS/cm	1	350	390	31	9
SE258512.024	LB300640.020	Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	µS/cm	1	410	400	30	2

#### Moisture Content

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE258512.010	LB300540.011	% Moisture	%w/w	1	8.9	8.2	42	8
SE258512.020	LB300540.022	% Moisture	%w/w	1	9.6	11.0	40	14
SE258512.022	LB300537.011	% Moisture	%w/w	1	11.8	11.5	39	2
SE258512.024	LB300537.014	% Moisture	%w/w	1	10.9	9.9	40	10
pH in soil (1:2) Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]A								ENVJAN101

### pH in soil (1:2)

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE258512.010	LB300597.014	pH (1:2)	pH Units	-	8.1	8.1	31	0
SE258512.020	LB300597.025	pH (1:2)	pH Units	-	8.5	8.5	31	0
SE258512.022	LB300598.014	pH (1:2)	pH Units	-	8.3	8.3	31	1
SE258512.024	LB300598.017	pH (1:2)	pH Units	-	8.2	8.2	31	0

#### Soluble Anions in Soil from 1:2 DI Extract by Ion Chromatography

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE258512.010	LB300599.014	Chloride	mg/kg	0.25	170	170	30	4
		Sulfate	mg/kg	0.5	350	360	31	5
SE258512.020	LB300599.027	Chloride	mg/kg	0.25	130	130	30	5
		Sulfate	mg/kg	0.5	200	210	31	7
SE258512.022	LB300600.014	Chloride	mg/kg	0.25	190	200	30	4
		Sulfate	mg/kg	0.5	290	300	31	3
SE258512.024	LB300600.017	Chloride	mg/kg	0.25	190	190	30	3
		Sulfate	mg/kg	0.5	270	270	31	1



Laboratory Control Standard (LCS) results are evaluated against an expected result, typically the concentration of analyte spiked into the control during the sample preparation stage, producing a percentage recovery. The criteria applied to the percentage recovery is established in the SGS QA /QC plan (Ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). For more information refer to the footnotes in the concluding page of this report.

Recovery is shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria.

#### Conductivity (1:2) in soil

Conductivity (1:2) in soil					N	Nethod: ME-(A	U)-[ENV]AN106
Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %
LB300597.002	Conductivity (1:2) @25 C*	µS/cm	1	310	303	70 - 130	102
LB300598.002	Conductivity (1:2) @25 C*	µS/cm	1	320	303	70 - 130	106

#### Conductivity and TDS by Calculation - Soil

Conductivity and TDS by Calculation	- Soil				N	lethod: ME-(A	U)-[ENV]AN106
Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %
LB300640.002	Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	µS/cm	1	NA	303	85 - 115	101
LB300641.002	Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	μS/cm	1	NA	303	85 - 115	104

#### pH in soil (1:2)

pH in soil (1:2)					N	/lethod: ME-(A	U)-[ENV]AN101
Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %
LB300597.003	pH (1:2)	pH Units	-	7.4	7.415	98 - 102	100
LB300598.003	pH (1:2)	pH Units	-	7.4	7.415	98 - 102	100

#### Soluble Anions in Soil from 1:2 DI Extract by Ion Chromatography

Soluble Anions in Soil from 1	le Anions in Soil from 1:2 DI Extract by Ion Chromatography						U)-[ENV]AN245
Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %
LB300599.002 Chloride		mg/kg	0.25	37	40	70 - 130	93
	Sulfate	mg/kg	0.5	37	40	70 - 130	92
LB300599.026	Chloride	mg/kg	0.25	37	40	70 - 130	94
	Sulfate	mg/kg	0.5	37	40	70 - 130	92
LB300600.002	Chloride	mg/kg	0.25	43	40	70 - 130	107
	Sulfate	mg/kg	0.5	42	40	70 - 130	106



# **MATRIX SPIKES**

Matrix Spike (MS) results are evaluated as the percentage recovery of an expected result, typically the concentration of analyte spiked into a field sub-sample during the sample preparation stage. The original sample's result is subtracted from the sub-sample result before determining the percentage recovery. The criteria applied to the percentage recovery is established in the SGS QA/QC plan (ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). For more information refer to the footnotes in the concluding page of this report.

Recovery is shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended reason identifer when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

No matrix spikes were required for this job.



Matrix spike duplicates are calculated as Relative Percent Difference (RPD) using the formula: RPD = | OriginalResult - ReplicateResult | x 100 / Mean

The original result is the analyte concentration of the matrix spike. The Duplicate result is the analyte concentration of the matrix spike duplicate.

The RPD is evaluated against the Maximum Allowable Difference (MAD) criteria and can be graphically represented by a curve calculated from the Statistical Detection Limit (SDL) and Limiting Repeatability (LR) using the formula: MAD = 100 x SDL / Mean + LR

Where the Maximum Allowable Difference evaluates to a number larger than 200 it is displayed as 200.

RPD is shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended reason identifer when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the

No matrix spike duplicates were required for this job.



#### Samples analysed as received.

Solid samples expressed on a dry weight basis.

QC criteria are subject to internal review according to the SGS QA/QC plan and may be provided on request or alternatively can be found here: <a href="https://www.sgs.com.au/~/media/Local/Australia/Documents/Technical Documents/MP-AU-ENV-QU-022 QA QC Plan.pdf">https://www.sgs.com.au/~/media/Local/Australia/Documents/Technical Documents/MP-AU-ENV-QU-022 QA QC Plan.pdf</a>

- \* NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service.
- \*\* Indicative data, theoretical holding time exceeded.
- \*\*\* Indicates that both \* and \*\* apply.
- Sample not analysed for this analyte.
- IS Insufficient sample for analysis.
- LNR Sample listed, but not received.
- LOR Limit of reporting.
- QFH QC result is above the upper tolerance.
- QFL QC result is below the lower tolerance.
- ① At least 2 of 3 surrogates are within acceptance criteria.
- 2 RPD failed acceptance criteria due to sample heterogeneity.
- ③ Results less than 5 times LOR preclude acceptance criteria for RPD.
- ④ Recovery failed acceptance criteria due to matrix interference.
- Recovery failed acceptance criteria due to the presence of significant concentration of analyte (i.e. the concentration of analyte exceeds the spike level).
- 6 LOR was raised due to sample matrix interference.
- <sup>(7)</sup> LOR was raised due to dilution of significantly high concentration of analyte in sample.
- Image: Image:
- Recovery failed acceptance criteria due to sample heterogeneity.
- <sup>®</sup> LOR was raised due to high conductivity of the sample (required dilution).
- t Refer to relevant report comments for further information.

This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at <u>www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx</u>. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client only. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.

This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full.

# GEOTECHNIQUE PTY LTD



# Laboratory Test Request / Chain of Custody Record

PENRITH NSW	2750 SGS ENVIRON	MENTAL SE	ERVICES	PEN	RITH NSW 2751	email: info@ge	otech.com.a mpling By:		Job No:	20465/3	Page	
	UNIT 16 33 MADDOX S ALEXANDRIA								Project:	Proposed	High School	
PH: ATTN:	02 8594 0400 Ms Emily Yin			FAX:	02 8594 0499	Pro	oject Manaç	ger: IJ	Location:	Gregory H	ills Drive, Gregory Hills	
	Sampling d	etails						F	Results r	equired	by: IJ	
Location	Depth	Soil	Water	EC (1:5)	Aggressivity						Remarks	Keep Samp
вні	0.5-0.7	DSP		√	✓ ✓							1
BH1	1.0-1.2	DSP		×	V 1						Aggressivity Test Includes	1
BH2	0.8-1.0	DSP			✓	- SGS	EHS Syd	Iney COC			pH, CI, SO4 and Resistivity	~
BH3	1.0-1.2	DSP		1	1							√
BH3	2.8-3.0'	DSP		×	✓	- JE	2585	012				1
BH4	0.4-0.6	DSP		1	✓							× ×
BH8	0.4-0.6	DSP		1	✓							1
BH8	1.0-1.2	DSP		1	V ·							~
BH9	0.4-0.6	DSP		1	✓							~
BH9	1.0-1.2	DSP		1	✓ <b>√</b>		1					√
BH11	1.0-1.2	DSP		~	✓							~
BH11	3.0-3.2	DSP		✓	✓							~
BH11	5.0-5.2	DSP		√	✓							✓
BH11	7.0-7.2	DSP		√	√					-2		1
BH12	1.5-1.95	DSP		√	✓							~
BH1-2	3.0-3.45	DSP		1	✓							1
BH12	4.5-4.95	DSP		1	×							V .
BH12	10.0-10.2	DSP		1	✓							V .
BH15	1.5-1.95	DSP		~	✓					1		1
BH15	3.0-3.45	DSP		· 1	✓							1
BH14	1.0-1.2	DSP		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓					818 - 1.78 -		1
BH14	3.0-3.2	DSP		~	✓					1.	and the second sec	1
BH14	5.0-5.2	DSP		~	✓							1
BH14	7.5-7.7	DSP		✓	✓			1				1
						Full Exce	el Outpu	at File Please				
		Re	linquished by	у						Rece	ived by	
	ime			Signature		Date		Name			Signature 19/12/23	12:15
	worchan					15-Dec-23	7.E A	X M			14/12/22	di ve
Legend: WG Form No 4.7F2	6.000			USG	Undisturbed soil sar	mple (glass j	DSP Dist	turbed soil sample (small	plastic bag)		* Purge & Trap	



# SAMPLE RECEIPT ADVICE

CLIENT DETAIL	S	LABORATORY DETA	ILS	
Contact Client Address	Indra Jworchan Geotechnique P.O. Box 880 NSW 2751	Manager Laboratory Address	Huong Crawford SGS Alexandria Environmental Unit 16, 33 Maddox St Alexandria NSW 2015	
Telephone	02 4722 2700	Telephone	+61 2 8594 0400	
Facsimile	02 4722 6161	Facsimile	+61 2 8594 0499	
Email	indra.jworchan@geotech.com.au	Email	au.environmental.sydney@sgs.com	
Project	20465/3 Gregory Hills Drive, Gregory Hil	Samples Received	Tue 19/12/2023	
Order Number	20465/3	Report Due	Tue 2/1/2024	
Samples	24	SGS Reference	<b>SE258512</b>	

- SUBMISSION DETAILS

This is to confirm that 24 samples were received on Tuesday 19/12/2023. Results are expected to be ready by COB Tuesday 2/1/2024. Please quote SGS reference SE258512 when making enquiries. Refer below for details relating to sample integrity upon receipt.

- Sample counts by matrix Date documentation received Samples received without headspace Sample container provider Samples received in correct containers Sample cooling method Complete documentation received
- 24 Soil 20/12/2023@6:30pm N/A Client Yes None Yes

Type of documentation received Samples received in good order Sample temperature upon receipt Turnaround time requested Sufficient sample for analysis Samples clearly labelled COC Yes 25.8°C Standard Yes Yes

Unless otherwise instructed, water and bulk samples will be held for one month from date of report, and soil samples will be held for two months.

COMMENTS -

This is the Company its General Conditions of Service at document issued by under accessible Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx. therein.

SGS Australia Pty Ltd ABN 44 000 964 278 Environment, Health and Safety

Unit 16 33 Maddox St PO Box 6432 Bourke Rd Alexandria NSW 2015 Alexandria NSW 2015 Australiat +61 2 8594 0400Australiaf +61 2 8594 0499

www.sgs.com.au



# SAMPLE RECEIPT ADVICE

CLIENT DETAILS -

Client Geotechnique

Project 20465/3 Gregory Hills Drive, Gregory Hil

No.	Sample ID	Conductivity (1:2) in soil	Conductivity and TDS by Calculation - Soil	Moisture Content	pH in soil (1:2)	Soluble Anions in Soil from 1:2 DI Extract by Ion
001	BH1 0.5-0.7	2	1	1	1	2
002	BH1 1.0-1.2	2	1	1	1	2
003	BH2 0.8-1.0	2	1	1	1	2
004	BH3 1.0-1.2	2	1	1	1	2
005	BH3 2.8-3.0	2	1	1	1	2
006	BH4 0.4-0.6	2	1	1	1	2
007	BH8 0.4-0.6	2	1	1	1	2
008	BH8 1.0-1.2	2	1	1	1	2
009	BH9 0.4-0.6	2	1	1	1	2
010	BH9 1.0-1.2	2	1	1	1	2
011	BH11 1.0-1.2	2	1	1	1	2
012	BH11 3.0-3.2	2	1	1	1	2
013	BH11 5.0-5.2	2	1	1	1	2
014	BH11 7.0-7.2	2	1	1	1	2
015	BH12 1.5-1.95	2	1	1	1	2
016	BH12 3.0-3.45	2	1	1	1	2
017	BH12 4.5-4.95	2	1	1	1	2
018	BH12 10.0-10.2	2	1	1	1	2
019	BH15 1.5-1.95	2	1	1	1	2
020	BH15 3.0-3.45	2	1	1	1	2
021	BH14 1.0-1.2	2	1	1	1	2
022	BH14 3.0-3.2	2	1	1	1	2
023	BH14 5.0-5.2	2	1	1	1	2
024	BH14 7.5-7.7	2	1	1	1	2

The above table represents SGS' interpretation of the client-supplied Chain Of Custody document.

The numbers shown in the table indicate the number of results requested in each package.

Please indicate as soon as possible should your request differ from these details .

Testing as per this table shall commence immediately unless the client intervenes with a correction .